



Annual Performance Report 2021

MONTICELLO MONTESSORI CHARTER SCHOOL

Mission Statement: Through a Montessori-inspired approach to learning, students will maximize their inner potentials and experience purpose and meaning in life, take responsibility for their own education, cultivate personal dignity, and develop independence and purpose in life.

Chair/President	Drew Hosford
Treasurer	David Meyer
Secretary	
Administrator	Jeanne Johnson
Business Manager	Candi Massey
School Location	4747 S. Sweetwater Way, Ammon, ID 83406
School Phone	208-419-0742

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
School Overview	4
Annual Snapshot	5
Academic Outcomes	6
Math Proficiency & Math Growth	6
ELA Proficiency & ELA Growth	7
Literacy Proficiency	8
Longitudinal Academic Ratings	9
Operational Outcomes: Board Stewardship.....	10
Governance Structure	10
Governance Oversight	10
Governance Compliance	10
Operational Outcomes: Management.....	11
Student Services	11
Data Security and Information Technology	11
Facility & Services	11
Operational Compliance	11
Longitudinal Operational Ratings	12-13
Financial Outcomes	14
Near Term Measures	14-15
Sustainability Measures	16-18
Longitudinal Financial Ratings	19-20
Contact Us.....	13

Introduction

Charter schools in Idaho operate on 5-year terms as defined in a Performance Certificate executed between the school's board and its authorizer. In addition, Idaho law requires that every certificate includes a framework describing the expectations the school must meet in order to earn a next operational term. It also requires that charter school authorizer's complete performance reports for the schools they oversee each year. The annual performance report serves the following purposes:

1. To provide transparent information about charter school quality to the public;
2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and;
3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions.

This report reflects how the school's outcomes during the most recently completed school year measure up against the expectations established in the framework. At the end of each 5-year term, the authorizer reviews the school's annual reports and financial data to inform its decision to renew, conditionally renew, or non-renew, the school for a subsequent term.

Each measure included in the IPCSC's framework has a meets standard benchmark. A school that meets standard on all measures at the end of its current operational term is guaranteed an unconditional renewal. A school that does not meet standard on at least one measure at the end of its current operational term may be conditionally renewed or non-renewed. Because the outcomes on this report inform high-stakes decisions, schools are encouraged to use this information to support their strategic planning efforts each year.

COVID-19 NOTE: Please note that due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the IPCSC has suspended ratings (exceeds, meets, etc.) on academic and financial measures for the FY21 report. All outcomes are included in this report; however, outcomes are not rated.

Monticello Montessori Charter School Performance Certificate: [MMCS Performance Certificate](#)

Performance Framework: [IPCSC Performance Framework](#)

Current Term: 2018-2023


School Overview

Model:	Montessori
Enrollment Capacity:	345
Grades Served:	K-8
Enrollment Projected FY22:	226

Key Design Elements:

- Maintain commitment to the core Montessori curriculum and instruction by utilizing authentic Montessori materials.
- Idaho State Standards are used as a basis for what every child needs to know. From there, the Montessori approach is utilized to help students master required knowledge and skills.
- Employ experienced Montessori teachers or teachers who will become Montessori certified.
- Employ a building principal/educational leader who has knowledge of Montessori principles and curriculum through Montessori coursework, Montessori Administrator Credential and/or annual conference exposure.
- Students will utilize work plans to guide them in their learning toward mastery.

2021 MONTICELLO MONTESSORI CHARTER SCHOOL DEMOGRAPHIC SUMMARY:



2020-2021 Annual Snapshot

Schools are encouraged to use this information for strategic planning and to ensure that any identified weaknesses are addressed in advance of renewal consideration.

RATING LEGEND	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	APPROACHES STANDARD	MEETS STANDARD	EXCEEDS STANDARD	NOT RATED DUE TO PANDEMIC
------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------------------------

ACADEMIC OUTCOMES:	
Math Proficiency	31%
Math Growth	<i>Data Not Available for 2020-2021</i>
ELA Proficiency	39%
ELA Growth	<i>Data Not Available for 2020-2021</i>
Literacy Proficiency	FALL IRI: 43% SPRING IRI: 47%

FINANCIAL OUTCOMES: NEAR TERM MEASURES	
Current Ratio	1.93
Unrestricted Days Cash	34
Default	None
Enrollment Variance	89.78%

OPERATIONAL OUTCOMES: BOARD STEWARDSHIP	
Governance Structure	APPROACHES STANDARD
Governance Oversight	APPROACHES STANDARD
Governance Compliance	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD

OPERATIONAL OUTCOMES: MANAGEMENT	
Student Services	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD
Data Security/Transparency	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD
Facility & Services	EXCEEDS STANDARD
Operational Compliance	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD

FINANCIAL OUTCOMES: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES	
Total Margin 3 Yr. Aggregate Margin	TM: 5.39% 3YR: 5.99%
Cash Flow Multi-Year Cash Flow	CF: -\$42,133 MY: \$41,346
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.10
Debt Asset Ratio	1.03
Financial Compliance	Notification of Fiscal Concern

Note: Due to the impact of the pandemic, Academic outcomes were not available for the 2019-2020 school year. Academic outcomes are available but will not be rated for the 2020-2021 school year.

Academic Outcomes


MATH PROFICIENCY & MATH GROWTH

Why This Matters:

The IPCSC must determine whether the academic performance at the school represents a favorable return on the investment of public dollars. For context, the IPCSC considers the school's performance in relation to other educational choices available to families. A school that performs as well or better than the average of its comparison group on the statewide mathematics assessment (ISAT) meets the IPCSC standard on both the math proficiency and math growth measures.

If this standard is not yet met, the school should focus its strategic planning on improving mathematics achievement outcomes to ensure the school will earn a next operational term. If the standard is met, strategic planning may focus on whatever data points will best establish and sustain an exemplary school of the specific model for which the school is chartered.

Comparison Group: Bonneville District (093)



RATING LEGEND	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	APPROACHES STANDARD	MEETS STANDARD	EXCEEDS STANDARD	NOT RATED DUE TO PANDEMIC
------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------------------------

*Please see the [Performance Framework Rubrics](#) for details regarding how each measure is rated.

MEASURE	PROFICIENCY RATING	APPLICABLE RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
MATH PROFICIENCY	Rating Not Applicable for 2020-2021	Not Applicable for 2020-2021 School Year

MEASURE	GROWTH RATING	APPLICABLE RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
MATH GROWTH	Rating Not Applicable for 2020-2021	Not Applicable for 2020-2021 School Year

Academic Outcomes


ELA PROFICIENCY & ELA GROWTH

Why This Matters:

The IPCSC must determine whether the academic performance at the school represents a favorable return on the investment of public dollars. For context, the IPCSC considers the school's performance in relation to other educational choices available to families. A school that performs as well or better than the average of its comparison group on the statewide ELA assessment (ISAT) meets the IPCSC standard on both the ELA proficiency and ELA growth measures.

If this standard is not yet met, the school should focus its strategic planning on improving these outcomes to ensure the school will earn a next operational term. If the standard is met, strategic planning may focus on whatever data points will best establish and sustain an exemplary school of the specific model for which the school is chartered.

Comparison Group: Bonneville District (093)



*Please see the [Performance Framework Rubrics](#) for details regarding how each measure is rated.

MEASURE	PROFICIENCY RATING	APPLICABLE RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
ELA PROFICIENCY	Rating Not Applicable for 2020-2021	Not Applicable for 2020-2021 School Year

MEASURE	GROWTH RATING	APPLICABLE RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
ELA GROWTH	Rating Not Applicable for 2020-2021	Not Applicable for 2020-2021 School Year

Academic Outcomes


LITERACY PROFICIENCY

Why This Matters:

The IPCSC must determine whether the academic performance at the school represents a favorable return on the investment of public dollars. For context, the IPCSC considers the school's performance in relation to other educational choices available to families. A school that performs as well or better than the average of its comparison group on the spring statewide literacy assessment (IRI) meets the IPCSC standard. Alternatively, a school that did not perform as well or better than its comparison group on the spring assessment, but that did improve its outcome by at least 10% from fall to spring, also meets standard.

If this standard is not yet met, the school should focus its strategic planning on improving these outcomes to ensure the school will earn a next operational term. If the standard is met, strategic planning may focus on whatever data points will best establish and sustain an exemplary school of the specific model for which the school is chartered.

Comparison Group: Bonneville District (093)



RATING LEGEND	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	APPROACHES STANDARD	MEETS STANDARD	EXCEEDS STANDARD	NOT RATED DUE TO PANDEMIC
------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------------------------

MEASURE	PROFICIENCY RATING	APPLICABLE RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
LITERACY PROFICIENCY	Rating Not Applicable for 2020-2021	Not Applicable for 2020-2021 School Year

*Please see the [Performance Framework Rubrics](#) for details regarding how each measure is rated.

Longitudinal Academic Ratings | 2018-2023



Longitudinal data provides a snapshot of a school's ratings over the school's current performance certificate (5 years). This data helps to identify performance trends and changes over time. Schools are encouraged to consider how this data might be used to drive strategic planning as the school approach its next renewal decision.

Academic Ratings | Historical Framework

SCHOOL YEAR	ACADEMIC GEN ED OUTCOME
2018-2019	REMEDIATION
2019-2020	NOT RATED DUE TO PANDEMIC

Academic Ratings | Revised Framework

Due to COVID-19 pandemic, no academic data was available for the 2019-2020 school year. Additionally, as ratings are used to inform charter renewal decisions, the IPCSC chose to suspend ratings for the 2020-21 school year due to the anticipated impact of the pandemic.



RATING LEGEND	DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	APPROACHES STANDARD	MEETS STANDARD	EXCEEDS STANDARD	NOT RATED DUE TO PANDEMIC
---------------	------------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	---------------------------

Operational Outcomes

BOARD STEWARDSHIP

Why This Matters:

At the highest level, the success of a charter school is driven by the ability of its governing board to govern effectively. The measures in this section help identify the strengths and challenges faced by the school's board. As success on these measures was not unduly influenced by the pandemic, ratings are applied for the 2020-2021 school year. *Please see the [Performance Framework Rubrics](#) for details regarding how each measure is rated.

STANDARD RATING: APPROACHES STANDARD	
Governance Structure Measure	Data/Evidence Source
The board bylaws are compliant with ID law.	Bylaws 06/08/2021 If the school has amended its bylaws since this date, please provide an updated copy to the IPCSC.
The school's articles of incorporation are current.	Articles of Incorporation initially filed on 04/13/2009. Most recently updated on 04/06/2021
The board does operate in compliance with its bylaws.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Investigations were conducted into ethical behavior or conflict of interest regarding any board director this year.	An IPCSC investigation was conducted; however due to board turn-over, no further action is required.
The board did experience Open Meeting Law violations that needed to be cured this year.	*See comments
Comments/ Context: A March 8,2021 action item to cure open meeting violation relating to the Feb.18,2021 meeting is documented: IC 74-208(7).	

STANDARD RATING: APPROACHES STANDARD	
Governance Oversight Measure	Data/Evidence Source
The IPCSC did not evaluate the boards review of academic data in a timely and thorough manner due to pandemic for 2020-2021.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
The board did not review financial reports in a timely and thorough manner.	The board was presented with inaccurate and superficial reports prior to the April 2021 intervention.
The board did not maintain compliant policies.	An IPCSC investigation found that the board failed to ensure existing policy was appropriately implemented.
The board did engage in strategic planning.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
The board did conduct a compliant evaluation of their school leader or management organization.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Comments/ Context:	

STANDARD RATING: DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	
Governance Compliance Measure	Data/Evidence Source
The IPCSC did issue courtesy letters to the school noting compliance concerns this year.	*See note
Another investigative body was notified of concerns at this school this year.	*The Professional Standards Commission was notified of concerns related to the lead administrator on several occasions. The PSC is pursuing an investigation in FY22.
Comments/ Context: IPCSC issued courtesy letters on the following dates: 3/31/21; 4/29/21;6/16/21; 7/2/21	

Operational Outcomes

MANAGEMENT

Why This Matters:

The systems and structures that support school operations are an important part of the school's overall success. The measures in this section help identify the strengths and challenges that impact the school's day-to-day operations. As success on these measures was not unduly influenced by the pandemic, ratings are applied for the 2020-2021 school year.

STANDARD RATING: DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	
Student Services	Data/Evidence Source
The school's English Language Learners program is in good standing.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
The school's Special Education program is not in good standing.	*See comments
The school's college and career readiness program is in good standing.	Continuous Improvement Plan 2020-2021
Comments/ Context: SPED Complaint (C-21-02-08a C-21-06-11a; H-21-02-08a; H-21-02-08b). CIP is outdated as of 3/18/2021	

STANDARD RATING: DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	
Data Security and Information Transparency	Data/Evidence Source
The school's website is not compliant and updated appropriately.	*See comments
The school did not experience issues involving data security this year.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Choose an item.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Comments/ Context: Review of the schools website identified the following documentation not present or outdated: prior school year annual report(I.C.33-5209C(2) and CIP(I.C.33-320(3))	

STANDARD RATING: EXCEEDS STANDARD	
Facility and Services	Data/Evidence Source
The school's occupancy certificate is current.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Safety inspections and evacuation drills are compliant.	No instances of non-compliance documented.
<i>The IPCSC did not evaluate school transportation for students due to measure being waived for 2020-2021.</i>	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
<i>The IPCSC did not evaluate school nutrition for students due to measure being waived for 2020-2021</i>	MMCS does not offer a lunch program.
Comments/ Context:	

STANDARD RATING: DOES NOT MEET STANDARD	
Operational Compliance	Data/Evidence Source
Required reports were submitted accurately and on time.	*See Comments
The enrollment process is not compliant.	An IPCSC observation of the enrollment process generated questions. The feedback report for this observation state that observation of the 2022 lottery process will be necessary.
The teachers are properly credentialed.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Corrective action plans were issued by the SDE this year.	Corrective action plans were issued to MMCS by the SDE's special education department and English language learning department. Several issues remain unresolved.
Comments/ Context: MMCS submitted their financial audit passed the required deadline of 11/1/2020. Report was received on 11/6/2020.	

Longitudinal Operational Ratings | 2018-2023

Board Stewardship

Longitudinal data provides a snapshot of a school's ratings over the school's current performance certificate (5 years). This data helps to identify performance trends and changes over time. Schools are encouraged to consider how this data might be used to drive your strategic planning as your school approach its next renewal decision.


Ratings on the historical and revised framework are not comparable in the operational section. The two sets of data have been included. The school's operational ratings on the historical framework are provided for reference.

Operational Ratings | Historical Framework

School Year	Rating
2018-2019	HONOR
2019-2020	HONOR

Note: In 2020, the IPCSC reorganized these measures for clarity. Operational outcomes are now divided into two sections (board stewardship and management) based on the primary party responsible for the school's success on each measure.

Operational Ratings: Board Stewardship | Revised Framework



Longitudinal Operational Ratings | 2018-2023

Management

Longitudinal data provides a snapshot of a school's ratings over the school's current performance certificate (5 years). This data helps to identify performance trends and changes over time. Schools are encouraged to consider how this data might be used to drive your strategic planning as your school approach its next renewal decision.




Ratings on the historical and revised framework are not comparable in the operational section. The two sets of data have been included. The school's operational ratings on the historical framework are provided for reference.

Operational Ratings | Historical Framework

School Year	Rating
2018-2019	HONOR
2019-2020	HONOR

Note: In 2020, the IPCSC reorganized these measures for clarity. Operational outcomes are now divided into two sections (board stewardship and management) based on the primary party responsible for the school's success on each measure.

Operational Ratings: Management | Revised Framework




Financial Outcomes

NEAR TERM MEASURES

These measures evaluate whether a school is likely to meet its financial obligations in the next year.
 *Please see the [Performance Framework Rubrics](#) for details regarding how each measure is rated.

Current Ratio

The school has a current ratio of more than 1.5.	Current Ratio 1.93	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
--	--------------------	--------------------------------------



Why This Matters:

Current Ratio is a comparison of a school's financial obligations due within one year (current liabilities) to the cash and investments held by the school (current assets).

A school with more assets than liabilities (a Current Ratio greater than 1.0) would be able to meet its financial obligations for the

next year without relying on future revenue.

A school with more liabilities than assets (a Current Ratio of less than 1.0) is dependent on revenue it has not yet received to meet existing financial obligations.


Unrestricted Days Cash

The school has between 30- and 60-days cash on hand, but the 1-year trend is negative.	34 Days	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
--	---------	--------------------------------------

Why this Matters:

This measure estimates a school's average daily cost of operations and considers the number of days a school could operate using only its available cash and investments.

A school with at least 60 days cash on hand would be able to meet its immediate financial obligations with available cash, buying the time it might take to access other current assets. Occasionally, a planned purchase may decrease this number temporarily. In those cases, 30-60 days cash on hand with an upward trend is acceptable. A school with less than 15 days cash on hand is in financial distress and is at risk of automatic closure.



Default

The school is not in default of any financial obligations and did not experience any instances of default during the fiscal year.	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
---	--------------------------------------

Why this Matters:

This measure identifies whether a school has defaulted on any of its financial obligations. Default can mean many things. Examples of default include: paying bills late, failing to make payroll, or inaccurately filing reports required for funding. Default can also include a failure to meet any additional requirements of long-term debt as established by the lender (such as meeting a specific enrollment target or maintaining a greater amount of cash on hand than the PCSC requires).


Any act of default can result in disruption of services, loans being called due, or funding that is inaccurate or withheld.

Comments/Context:

An IPCSC investigation found that the school's financial practices were not sound. Among other issues, payments to multiple vendors were found to be delinquent. The governing board took action to correct the issue in July of 2021.

Enrollment Variance

The school achieved and sustained less than 90% of its projected enrollment and did not provide a mid-year amended budget evidencing a break-even financial plan.	Enrollment Variance 89.78%	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
---	-------------------------------	--------------------------------------



Why this Matters:

The Enrollment Variance measure considers what percentage of a school's projected enrollment was achieved. As the majority of a public school's funding is generated by student enrollment, this measure reflects a school's ability to execute its budget to plan.

A school that achieves at least 95% of its projected enrollment will likely experience few bumps and may make minor adjustments. A school that achieves less than 95% of projected enrollment is likely to face budget challenges requiring more significant budget amendments and may be in financial distress.

Financial Outcomes

SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES

These measures help determine whether a school is likely to remain financially stable into the future.

Total Margin

The school has maintained a positive total margin for at least 3 consecutive years.	CY Total Margin 5.39% 3-Year Aggregated 5.99%	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
---	--	--------------------------------------


Why this Matters:

The Total Margin compares a school's total revenue to its net income. Specifically, this measure identifies what percentage of a school's total revenue in a given year was not expended during that year. A school with a positive total margin spent less than it brought in. That is, the school is living within its means and can plan for future purchases and investments.

An occasional negative total margin may indicate that a planned or necessary purchase has taken place. This is not necessarily a negative indicator.

However, if the Total Margin is chronically negative or severe decreases appear, the school may be in financial distress. Aggregating this margin over three years helps to identify these long-term trends.

Note: for the purposes of this calculation, pension liability is excluded from the school's net income.



Cash Flow


The school's multi-year cumulative cash flow is positive, but the most recent year's cash flow is negative.	Most Recent Year -\$42,133 Previous Year \$83,479 Multi-Year \$41,346	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
---	--	--------------------------------------

Why this Matters:

The Cash Flow measure considers the change in a school's cash balance over time. A school with a positive cash flow is increasing its reserves and is likely able to fund future projects and purchases.


A school with a negative cash flow is using its existing reserves to fund general operations and is not likely to have the financial flexibility to fund future plans.

A multi-year cumulative cash flow helps to identify long-term trends.



Debt Service Coverage Ratio

Debt Service Coverage Ratio is between 1.1 and 1.49.	Debt Service Coverage Ratio 1.10	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
--	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------



Why this Matters:

This measure evaluates a school's ability to make payments on debt due in a given year using only that year's income. This helps determine whether a school has more debt than it can manage.

A school with more Operating Income than Debt Service (a Debt Service Coverage Ratio greater than 1) can meet the financial obligations of their debt. A

school with more Debt Service than Operating Income (a ratio of less than 1) is reliant on either reserves or revenues anticipated in future years to meet its debt obligations.

Debt to Asset Ratio

The school's Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0	Debt/Asset Ratio 1.03	Ratings Not Applicable for 2020-2021
--	--------------------------	---


Why This Matters:

The Debt to Asset Ratio compares a school's total liabilities to its total assets. This helps determine whether a school would be able to meet both its short and long-term financial obligations.

A school whose total liabilities are 90% or less of its Total Assets is

likely to be able to repay all short-term debts and still manage to set its long-term affairs in order in a worst-case scenario. A school with more liabilities than assets would not be able to meet all its financial obligations in a worst-case scenario.

Note: for the purposes of this measure, pension liability is excluded from the school's total liabilities.



Financial Compliance

STANDARD RATING: NOT RATE FOR 2020-2021	
Financial Operations	Data and/or Evidence Source
The school's finances are managed in compliance with GAAP.	Financial Audit 10/29/2021
Expenditures and contracts are posted online appropriately.	School's Expenditure Page 7/30/2021
The school maintains compliant internal controls.	*See Comments
The school is operating under a Notification of Fiscal Concern.	NA or Notification of Fiscal Concern 6/11/2021
The school is not operating under a Notification of Possible or Imminent Closure.	*No instances of non-compliance documented.
Comments/Context MMCS was issued a recommendation for a corrective action plan which included updating the internal finance controls. The school has continued to make progress toward resolving the issues identified during the IPCSC's investigation.	

Longitudinal Financial Ratings | 2018-2023

Longitudinal data provides a snapshot of a school's ratings over a 5-year period. This data helps to identify performance trends and changes over time. Schools are encouraged to consider how this data might be used to drive your strategic planning as your school approach its next renewal decision.


Notes: The 2020 framework revision removed the "cash ratio" measure and moved the existing enrollment variance measure to the financial section.

Due to the impact of the pandemic, financial outcomes are not rated for 2020-21. Additionally, the enrollment variance measure was calculated based on projected enrollment and actual enrollment (not ADA).

Financial Near Term Health Ratings | Historical Framework

SCHOOL YEAR	Near Term Health Rating
2018-2019	GOOD STANDING
2019-2020	HONOR

Financial Near Term Health Ratings | Revised Framework



Longitudinal Financial Ratings | 2018-2023

Longitudinal data provides a snapshot of a school's ratings over the school's current performance certificate (5 years). This data helps to identify performance trends and changes over time. Schools are encouraged to consider how this data might be used to drive your strategic planning as your school approach its next renewal decision.


Notes: The 2020 framework revision removed the "cash ratio" measure and moved the existing enrollment variance measure to the financial section.

Due to the impact of the pandemic, financial outcomes are not rated for 2020-21. Additionally, the enrollment variance measure was calculated based on projected enrollment and actual enrollment (not ADA).

Financial Sustainability Ratings | Historical Framework

SCHOOL YEAR	Sustainability Rating
2018-2019	GOOD STANDING
2019-2020	HONOR

Financial Sustainability Ratings | Revised Framework



*The 2020-2021 Annual Report has been finalized by the
Idaho Public Charter School Commission staff.*

If you have any questions or comments, please contact
the IPCSC.



IDAHO PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION

304 N. 8th St. Ste.242

Boise, ID 83720

208-332-1561

pcsc@osbe.idaho.gov