Additional Obligations

Total Operational Points

% of Operational Points

		Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points
ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned
		K-8	K-8	9-12	9-12	K-12	K-12	Alternative	Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*		NA*
	1b	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*		NA*
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*
	2b	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	NA*			50	NA*		NA*
	3b	100	NA*			50	NA*		NA*
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a		NA*	100	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*
	4b		NA*	100	NA*	50	NA*	50	NA*
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a		NA*	125	NA*	125	NA*	100	NA*
Total Academic Points		400	NA*	525	NA*	525	NA*	300	NA*
% of Academic Points			NA*		NA*		NA*		NA*
1		*Limited acade	emic data for	FY20 is available	e due to the C	OVID-19 pande	mic.		

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	25	Near-Term	1a	50	NA*
				Nedi-Term			
	1b	25	25		1b	50	NA*
	1c	25	25		1c	50	NA*
	1d	25	25		1d	50	NA*
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	25	Sustainability	2a	50	NA*
	2b	25	25		2b	50	NA*
	2c	25	0		2c	50	NA*
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25		2d	50	NA*
	3b	25	25	Total Financial Points		400	NA*
	Зc	25	25	% of Financial Points			NA*
	3d	25	25				
	3e	25	25				
	3f	25	25				
School Environment	4a	25	25	The financial measures of	are bacad	inductor c	tordordo Thou
	4b	25	25	The financial measures ab			

25

375

94%

The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic K-12 Onsite	Academic Alternative	Academic Virtual	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%					90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA	80% - 89%	94%	65% - 84%	NA*
Remediation	31% - 54%	INA ⁻	NA.	NA '	NA	61% - 79%	94%	46% - 64%	INA [·]
Critical	0% - 30%					0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

*Limited academic data for FY20 is available due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

5a

25

400

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

	INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate			-	
Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	17%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.	State	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.	40%		
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		_	
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?		Points Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to State				
companies to state	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	School	NA	NA
	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more. Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	School 36%	NA NA	NA NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	36%	NA	NA
Notes	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.	36% State	NA	NA

	INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	17%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	District	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	29%		
Notes				
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?		Points Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	36%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	District	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	44%		
1			=	

	INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)			
Measure 3a	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.	NA	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.	NA	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.	NA	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.			
Notes				
Measure 3b	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
ELA	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.	NA	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.	NA	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.	NA	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.			
Notes				

	INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)		Points	Delate
Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?		Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.	NA	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.			
Notes				
Notes Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4b Norm-Referenced Growth			Possible	Earned
Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers? Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.	NA NA		
Measure 4b Norm-Referenced Growth	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.		Possible NA	Earned NA
Measure 4b Norm-Referenced Growth	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.	NA	Possible NA NA	Earned NA NA

	INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school on time?	Points Possible	Points Earned
Four-Year Adjusted Cohort			
Graduation Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school either:		
	a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR	NA	NA
	b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.		
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.		
	The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.		
Notes	Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.		
	The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.		

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	19%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.	State	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.	40%		
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.			
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?		Points Possible	
ELA Proficiency Rate			Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average? Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	School		
ELA Proficiency Rate		School 75%	Possible	Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		Possible NA	Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more. Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	75%	Possible NA NA	Earnee NA NA
Measure 1b ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more. Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.	75% State	Possible NA NA	Earned NA NA

	INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	19%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	District	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	35%		
Notes				
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?		Points Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate			-	
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	School	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	75%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	District	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	54%		
Notes				

Points ossible NA NA	Points Earned NA
	NA
	INA I
	NA
NA	NA
Points ossible	Points Earned
NA NA	NA NA
NA	NA
c	NA NA

	INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school on time?	Points Possible	Points Earned
Four-Year Adjusted Cohort			
Graduation Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school either:		
	a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR	NA	NA
	b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.		
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.		
	The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.		
Notes	Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.		
	The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.		

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

Meets Standard: The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Alts Alts NA Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools. 21% V Notes Alt Measure 2b Do English Language Arts proficiency rate is on the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA ELA Proficiency Rate Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools but is below the top 10%. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative s		Points Possible	Result	t Measure 2a Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?
Meets Standard: The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Alts Alts NA Notes 21% 21% Elk Proficiency Rate School's Elk proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. NA Notes Notes Notes NA Alt Measure 2b Do English Language Arts proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools but is below the top 10%. School NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is bel		_		ath Proficiency Rate
10% of alternative schools statewide. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools Alts NA Statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools. Alts 21% Notes 21% Z<	NA NA	NA	School	mparison to Alternatives Exceeds Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.
statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Aits NA Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools. 21% Notes Ait Measure 2b Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools? Point Possite ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA	NA	NA	n size	
Notes Notes Point Alt Measure 2b Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools? Point ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA	NA	NA	Alts	
Alt Measure 2b Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools? Possite ELA Proficiency Rate Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA			21%	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.
ELA Proficiency Rate Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Comparison to Alternatives Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA		Points		
Comparison to Alternatives Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. School NA Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. n size NA Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of NA	ble Earned	Possible	l .	A Proficiency Rate
Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of	NA	NA	School	
Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of	NA NA	NA	n size	Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.
alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%.	NA	NA	Alts	
Falls Far Below Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools. 32%			32%	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.

	ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 4: STUDENT GROWTH COMPARISON		Deinte	Deinte
Alt Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math				
wan	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.	NA	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.	NA	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.	NA	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.			
Notes	This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.			
Alt Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?		Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth				
ELA	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.	NA	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.	NA	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.	NA	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.			

	ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Alt Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school?	Points Possible	Point Earne
Five-Year Cohort		1 000.010	
Graduation Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's five-year cohort graduation rate was greater than 80%.	NA	NA
	Meets Standard: The school had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 66% - 80% OR met its progress goal.	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 40% - 66%.	NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate below 40%.		
Notes	The school's 5-year cohort graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent 5-year cohort graduation rate plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the average graduation rate for alternative schools statewide.		
Notes	Graduation rates are calculated using a 5-year-plus-summer cohort. The 5-year rate is calculated by adding to the 4-year ACGR any students from the 4-year cohort that graduated by the end of summer of the following year. For this reason, data availability will always run two years behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data based on the 4-year cohort preceding the most recent school year by two years. For example, 2015-16 5-year cohort graduation rates will be reflected in 2018 reports.)		

	INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
Measure 1a	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Implementation of Educational Program				
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0	
	·····			25
Notes				
Measure 1b	Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Requirements				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content	No instances of non- compliance	25	25
	standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	documented		
			15	
	programming related to state or federal funding. Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the		15 0	
Notes	 programming related to state or federal funding. Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with 			25

Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance of the governing board.		15	
	the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25

	INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT			
Measure 2a	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Financial Reporting and Compliance			rossible	Lamet
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	 Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. 		15 0	
				25
Notes				
Measure 2b	Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
GAAP				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 2c	Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Enrollment Variance	Meets Standard: Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Partially Meets Standard: Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.	83.28%	25 15 0	0
Notes	Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing mid-term ADA by the enrollment projection reported to the PCSC at the beginning of the fiscal year. The school's enrollment variance was below 90%. RMCS submitted an enrollment projection of 624. The school's mid-term ADA was 453.			-

Measure 3a	INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Governance Requirements				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 3b	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Board Oversight				
	Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for		15	
	additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance.			
			0	

Measure 3c Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 3d	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.		25	
	Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	see note	15	15
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				15
Notes	A review of the school's website identified that the prior fiscal year IPCSC annual report was missing from the website. This documentation is required to be published to the schools website by (I.C.33-5209C(2)). Though the school has met standard for three years earning an Exceeds Standards rating, The IPCSC has determined a Meets Standard rating is appropriate for failure to have a fully compliant website in the 2020-2021 school year.			

Measure 3e Credentialing & Background Checks	Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard : The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	 Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. 		15 0	
Notes				25
Measure 3f	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Information Handling				
Information Handling	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
Information Handling	to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and	of non- compliance	25	25
Information Handling	to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials. Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the	of non- compliance		25

	INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT			
Measure 4a	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Transportation				
	Meets Standard: The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides and incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.		0	
				25
Notes				
Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Facilities				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the		15	
	governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.			
	governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. The school facility may be in need of modification or repair required by DBS.		0	

	INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS			
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.		25	
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory,	see note	15	15
	regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non- compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	15
Notes	School provided financial audit to IPCSC passed the deadline of 11/1/2020. Audited was received by IPSC staff on 11/6/2020.			15

	INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Current Ratio		36.9	POSSIBle	Laineu
	Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.		NA	NA
	Does Not Meet: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.		NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.		NA	NA
Notes				
Maaaaaa 4k		Desult	Points	Points
Measure 1b Cash Ratio	Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities	Result 32.7	Possible	Earned
	Meets Standard: Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).	52.7	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet: Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.		NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.		NA	NA
Notes				
Measure 1c	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Unrestricted Days Cash	Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.	343.55	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.		NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.		NA	NA
Notes				
Measure 1d	Default	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Default	Meets Standard: School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non- reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.	None	50	50
	Does Not Meet: School is in default of financial obligations.		0	
				50

			Points	Point
Measure 2a Total Margin and Aggregated	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.	Result 27.05% 30.38%	Possible	Earne
3-Year Total Margin	Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.	30.38%	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".		NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.		NA	NA
Notes				
Measure 2b Debt to Asset Ratio	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible	Poin Earne
	Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9.	0.1	NA	NA
	Does Not Meet: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9. and 1.0		NA	NA
	Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0		NA	NA
Notes				
			Points	Poir
Measure 2c Cash Flow	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result Multi - \$339,449 Recent One - \$636,787 Previous One -	Possible	Earn
		(\$297,338)		
	Meets Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.	(\$297,338)	NA	NA
		(\$297,338)	NA	NA NA
	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.	(\$297,338)		NA
Notes	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"	(\$297,338)	NA	
Notes Measure 2d	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"	(\$297,338) Result	NA NA Points	NA NA Poir
	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.		NA	NA
Measure 2d	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.	Result	NA NA Points	N/ N/ Poir
Measure 2d	one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow. Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative. Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	NA NA Points Possible	N/ N/ Poin Earr

Richard McKenna Charter School

	Percentage of Points Earned							
ACADEMIC	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	N/A		0%	NA	NA		
	1b	N/A		0%	NA	NA		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	N/A		6%	NA	NA		
	2b	N/A		18%	NA	NA		
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	N/A		Masked	NA	NA		
	3b	N/A		11%	NA	NA		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a	N/A		Masked	NA	NA		
	4b	N/A		Masked	NA	NA		
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a	N/A		100%	NA	NA		
% of Possible Academic Points for his School		NA	31%	38%	NA	NA		
			Percentage	e of Points Ear	ned			
OPERATIONAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Educational Program	1a -1d	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Financial Management	2a - 2c	87%	67%	100%	67%	67%		
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	93%	93%	87%	100%	100%		
School Environment	4a - 4b	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%		
Additional Obligations	5a	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
% of Possible Operational Points for this School		86%	85%	95%	94%	95%		
	Percentage of Points Earned							
FINANCIAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Near-Term	1a - 1d	100%	100%	100%	100%	NA		
Sustainability	2a - 2d	100%	100%	100%	65%	NA		
% of Possible Financial Points for this School		100%	100%	100%	83%	NA		
ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	
Academic		N/A*	Remediation	Remediation	N/A*	N/A		
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Operational		Honor	Good Standing	Honor	Honor	Honor		
Financial		Honor	Honor	Honor	Honor	N/A	•	
*Limited academic data for FY20 is	available due 1	to the COVID-1						

*Limited academic data for FY20 is available due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

*Due to SDE changes in school numbering, 2016-17 academic scores for the on-site, gen-ed high school program at RMCS are not comparable to the 2017-18 scores.