

RICHARD MCKENNA CHARTER SCHOOL

2018 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

INTRODUCTION

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on May 4th, 2017.

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had a opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

Academic	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
Mission-Specific	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
Operational	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
Financial	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

Honor	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
Good Standing	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
Remediation	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
Critical	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

SCHOOL OVERVIEW			
Mission Statement	The mission of Richard McKenna Charter School is to help students develop a love for learning and serving by engaging their curiosity and creativity through meaningful activities that challenge their thinking, require effective communication, and build character.		
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Active Learning. We teach our students how to formulate questions, develop solutions, apply solutions, and share the results by focusing on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪Critical and Analytical Thinking ▪Hard Work, Respect, and Service ▪Presentations and Projects ▪Reflecting and Recording ●Focused Learning. We use a block schedule that allows students to focus on a few courses at a time in great depth. ●Online Learning. We provide online courses for both general ed. and at-risk students statewide. Online learning provides convenience and flexibility for students who cannot attend class in a traditional setting. 		
School Location	675 South Haskett Street Mountain Home, ID 83647	School Phone	(208) 580-2449
Surrounding District	Mountain Home School District		
Opening Year	2002		
Current Term	July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2022		
Grades Served	K-12		
Enrollment (Approved)	291 on-site, unlimited online	Enrollment (Actual)	400

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP	
Meg Warren	Chairman
Don Dow	Vice Chairman
Doug Mayne	Treasurer
Melody Landis	Secretary
Kyle Davis	Member
Page Borgholthaus	Member
Dennis Wilson	Administrator

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS				
	School	State	Surrounding	Neighboring
Non-White	20%	25%	34%	N/A
Limited English Proficiency	2%	6%	6%	N/A
Special Needs	3%	10%	12%	N/A
Free and Reduced Lunch	22%	47%	49%	N/A

ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	%* Onsite / %* Alt
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	%* Onsite / %* Alt
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	%* Onsite/ %* Alt
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	%* Virtual
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	%* Virtual
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	%* Virtual

GO-ON RATE (Post-secondary enrollment within 12 months of graduation)	%* Onsite / 18% Alt
	34% Virtual

*Masked per state law or statistical irrelevance

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%	31%	28%	75% - 100%	NA	90% - 100%	85%	85% - 100%	100%
Good Standing	55% - 74%			55% - 74%		80% - 89%		65% - 84%	
Remediation	31% - 54%			31% - 54%		61% - 79%		46% - 64%	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	
School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.									

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON		
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	0 - 14
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Mountain Home School District will be used for comparison purposes for the general education population.	
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.	50
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	30 - 45
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.	15 - 29
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.	0 - 14
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Mountain Home School District will be used for comparison purposes for the general education population.	

INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)			
Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25
Notes	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		
Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth ELA	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25
Notes	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		

INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school on time?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school either:</p> <p>a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR</p> <p>b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p>	<p>125</p> <p>100</p> <p>75</p> <p>0-65</p>
Notes	<p>The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.</p> <p>Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.</p> <p>The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.</p>	

ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 2: STUDENT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Alt Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>		50 30 15 0
Notes			
Alt Measure 2b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>		50 30 15 0
Notes			

ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 4: STUDENT GROWTH COMPARISON					
Alt Measure 4a		Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math				50	
				30	
				15	
				0	
Notes		This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.			
Measure 4b		Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth ELA				50	
				30	
				15	
				0	
Notes		This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 - 8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.			

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Alt Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school?	Result
Five-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's five-year cohort graduation rate was greater than 80%.	100
	Meets Standard: The school had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 66% - 80% OR met its progress goal.	80
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 40% - 66%.	60
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate below 40%.	0-39
Notes	The school's 5-year cohort graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent 5-year cohort graduation rate plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the average graduation rate for alternative schools statewide.	
	Graduation rates are calculated using a 5-year-plus-summer cohort. The 5-year rate is calculated by adding to the 4-year ACGR any students from the 4-year cohort that graduated by the end of summer of the following year. For this reason, data availability will always run two years behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data based on the 4-year cohort preceding the most recent school year by two years. For example, 2015-16 5-year cohort graduation rates will be reflected in 2018 reports.)	

OPERATIONAL

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM				
Measure 1a	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Implementation of Educational Program	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0	
	Notes			25
Measure 1b	Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Requirements	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
	Notes			25

OPERATIONAL

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
	Notes		25	
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
English Language Learners	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
	Notes		25	

INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Financial Reporting and Compliance	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
				25
Notes				
Measure 2b	Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
GAAP	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	See note	25	25
			15	
			0	
				25
Notes	Points are not deducted from this measure for failure to comply with GASB Statement 75.			
Measure 2c	Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Enrollment Variance	<p>Meets Standard: Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p>		25	
			15	
		80%	0	0
				0
Notes	Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing actual mid-term enrollment by the enrollment projection in the school's board-approved budget, as submitted to the SDE at the beginning of the fiscal year.			

INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING				
Measure 3a	Is the school complying with governance requirements?			
Governance Requirements				
<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			<p>No instances of non-compliance documented</p> <p>25</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p>	<p>25</p>
Notes				
Measure 3b	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?			
Board Oversight				
<p>Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's board fails to practice consistent, effective oversight of the school, and/or documentation of competent oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, unmaintained, or non-existent.</p>			<p>No instances of non-compliance documented</p> <p>25</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p>	<p>25</p>
Notes				

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3c	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Reporting Requirements	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
Notes				25
Measure 3d	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
		See note	15	15
			0	
Notes	As of 11/6/18, the school's expenditures were published on the school's website, but this report was not current to within 45 days as required.			15

Measure 3e		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?				
Credentialing & Background Checks				
Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.		No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			15	
Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			0	
Notes				25

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3f		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?			
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes			25	
INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT				
Measure 4a		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Transportation	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?			
	Meets Standard: The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.		25	
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides an incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.	See note	0	0
Notes			0	
The school does not offer student transportation. Historically, this decision has been left to schools' discretion; however, Idaho statute requires that public schools provide student transportation where practicable.				

OPERATIONAL

Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. The school facility may be in need of modification or repair required by DBS.		0	
	Notes			25
INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
	Notes			25

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			
Measure 1a Current Ratio	<p>Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities</p> <p>Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.</p>	<p>Result</p> <p>60.72</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>50</p> <p>10</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>50</p>
Notes			
Measure 1b Cash Ratio	<p>Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities</p> <p>Meets Standard: Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.</p>	<p>Result</p> <p>48.7</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>50</p> <p>10</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>50</p>
Notes			
Measure 1c Unrestricted Days Cash	<p>Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)</p> <p>Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.</p>	<p>Result</p> <p>317 days</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>50</p> <p>10</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>50</p>
Notes			
Measure 1d Default	<p>Default</p> <p>Meets Standard: School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non-reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.</p> <p>Does Not Meet: School is in default of financial obligations.</p>	<p>Result</p> <p>No default noted</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>50</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>50</p>
Notes			

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			
Measure 2a	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Total Margin and Aggregated			
3-Year Total Margin	<p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p>	See note	50 50
			30
			0
			50
Notes	The Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.		
Measure 2b	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Debt to Asset Ratio			
	<p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9.</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p>	0.007	50 50
			30
			0
			50
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.		
Measure 2c	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Cash Flow			
	<p>Meets Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.</p>	See note	50 50
			30
			0
			50
Notes	Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year.		

Measure 2d	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt Service Coverage Ratio	<p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p>	See note	50	50
			0	
Notes	School entered into a new mortgage in July of 2018 for approximately \$2.2M @5.5%, maturing in 2020. This loan was noted in the audit as in progress. Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			50

Richard McKenna Charter School Alt Longitudinal Results

ACADEMIC	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Alt Proficiency Comparison	2a						
	2b						
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a						
	4b						
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a						

% of Possible Academic Points for this School

53%

28%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a -1d	90%	100%				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	87%	67%				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	93%	93%				
School Environment	4a - 4b	50%	50%				
Additional Obligations	5a	100%	100%				

% of Possible Operational Points for this School

86%

85%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	100%	100%				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	100%	100%				

% of Possible Financial Points for this School

100%

100%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic		Remediation	Critical				
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A				
Operational		Honor	Good Standing				
Financial		Honor	Honor				

Richard McKenna Charter School On-site Gen Ed Longitudinal Results

ACADEMIC	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	N/A					
	1b	N/A					
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	N/A					
	2b	N/A					
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	N/A	N/A				
	3b	N/A	N/A				
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a	N/A					
	4b	N/A					
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a	N/A					

% of Possible Academic Points for this School

NA

31%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a - 1d	90%	100%				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	87%	67%				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	93%	93%				
School Environment	4a - 4b	50%	50%				
Additional Obligations	5a	100%	100%				
% of Possible Operational Points for this School		86%	85%				

FINANCIAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	100%	100%				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	100%	100%				
% of Possible Financial Points for this School		100%	100%				

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic		N/A*	Remediation				
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A				
Operational		Honor	Good Standing				
Financial		Honor	Honor				

*Due to SDE changes in school numbering, 2016-17 academic scores for the on-site, gen-ed high school program at RMCS are not comparable to the 2017-18 scores.

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible K-8	Points Earned K-8	Points Possible 9-12	Points Earned 9-12	Points Possible K-12	Points Earned K-12	Points Possible Alternative	Points Earned Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	0	50		50	0		
	1b	50	0	50		50	0		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	0	50		50	0	50	0
	2b	50	0	50		50	0	50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	0			50	0		
	3b	100	0			50	0		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100		50	0	50	0
	4b			100		50	0	50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125		125	0	100	0
Total Academic Points		400	0	525	200	525	0	300	0
% of Academic Points			0%		38%		0%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			

RMCS has chosen not to include mission-specific measures.

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	0	Near-Term	1a	50	0
	1b	25	0		1b	50	0
	1c	25	0		1c	50	0
	1d	25	0		1d	50	0
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	0	Sustainability	2a	50	0
	2b	25	0		2b	50	0
	2c	25	0		2c	50	0
	2d	25	0		2d	50	0
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	0	Total Financial Points		400	0
	3b	25	0				0%
	3c	25	0				
	3d	25	0				
	3e	25	0	For operational and financial outcomes, see the RMCS Onsite HS & Alternative HS scorecard. The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.			
	3f	25	0				
School Environment	4a	25	0				
	4b	25	0				
Additional Obligations	5a	25	0				
Total Operational Points		400	0				
% of Operational Points			0%				

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	38%	0%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	0%	65% - 84%	0%
Remediation	31% - 54%			31% - 54%		61% - 79%		46% - 64%	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON		
Measure 2a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate		
Comparison to District	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<p>50</p> <p>30 - 45</p> <p>15 - 29</p> <p>0 - 14</p>
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Because RMCS is a virtual school serving students in multiple districts, other Idaho virtual schools (instead of the district) will be used as the comparison group for purposes of this measure.	
Measure 2b	Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate		
Comparison to District	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<p>50</p> <p>30 - 45</p> <p>15 - 29</p> <p>0 - 14</p>
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Because RMCS is a virtual school serving students in multiple districts, other Idaho virtual schools (instead of the district) will be used as the comparison group for purposes of this measure.	

INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)			
Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25
Notes	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		
Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth ELA	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25
Notes	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		

INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)		
Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school on time?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school either:</p> <p>a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR</p> <p>b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p>	<p>125</p> <p>100</p> <p>75</p> <p>0-65</p>
Notes	<p>The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.</p> <p>Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.</p> <p>The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.</p>	

Richard McKenna Charter School -Virtual Longitudinal Results

ACADEMIC	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	N/A					
	1b	N/A					
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	N/A					
	2b	N/A					
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a	N/A					
	4b	N/A					
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a	N/A					

% of Possible Academic Points for this School

N/A 38%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a -1d	N/A	N/A				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	N/A	N/A				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	N/A	N/A				
School Environment	4a - 4b	N/A	N/A				
Additional Obligations	5a	N/A	N/A				

% of Possible Operational Points for this School

N/A N/A

FINANCIAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	N/A	N/A				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	N/A	N/A				

% of Possible Financial Points for this School

N/A N/A

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic		N/A*	Remediation				
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A				
Operational		N/A**	N/A**				
Financial		N/A**	N/A**				

*Due to SDE changes in school numbering, 2016-17 academic scores for the virtual program at RMCS are not comparable to the 2017-18 scores.

**For operational and financial outcomes, see the RMCS Onsite HS & Alternative HS longitudinal sheet.