

IDAHO VIRTUAL ACADEMY

2018 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

INTRODUCTION

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on May 4th, 2017.

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had a opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

Academic	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
Mission-Specific	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
Operational	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
Financial	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

Honor	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
Good Standing	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
Remediation	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
Critical	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

SCHOOL OVERVIEW

Mission Statement	Building a community of engaged learners.		
Key Design Elements	<p>Innovative and Effective Educational Program: Idaho Virtual Academy seeks to develop those qualities of mind and character that will help students become active, thoughtful, and responsible citizens. Furthermore, it aims to help students meet high expectations by offering an individualized, rigorous, self-paced, and mastery-based instructional program that incorporates significant parental involvement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rigorous Curriculum: Idaho Virtual Academy utilizes the award winning K12 curriculum. • Effective Teachers: Idaho Virtual Academy is committed to employing highly qualified, innovative and committed teachers. Professional growth is supported through meaningful professional development focused on continuous improvement. • Parental Involvement: When parents become active and informed partners in their child's education, test scores rise, drop-out rates fall, and the active pursuit of learning becomes a compelling focus for each family. • Partnership: Teachers, parents and students uniquely connected in a 21st Century Learning Community designed to support and enhance individual student learning. • 21st Century Skills: Students will gain the skills, knowledge and expertise to succeed in work and life in the 21st century. • Performance based accountability: IDVA uses technology to alter the typical school day and school year. Mastering curriculum early allows students to move ahead and those who need extra time or remediation are able to work at an individualized pace. Student mastery of State achievement standards is measured through formative and interim assessment throughout the school year and, additionally, at the end of each school year through the state assessment system. 		
School Location	1965 S. Eagle Road Meridian, ID 83642	School Phone	208-322-3559
Surrounding District	State of Idaho		
Opening Year	2002		
Current Term	July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2023		
Grades Served	K-12		
Enrollment (Approved)	Unlimited	Enrollment (Actual)	1835

SCHOOL LEADERSHIP

Bjorn Handeen	Chair
Anne MacConnell	Vice Chair
Melissa Krein	Treasurer
Laura Sankovich	Member
Jeff Thompson	Member
Kelly Edginton	Administrator

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

	School	State	Surrounding	Neighboring
Non-White	16%	25%	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficiency	0%	6%	N/A	N/A
Special Needs	13%	10%	N/A	N/A
Free and Reduced Lunch	53%	47%	N/A	N/A

ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES

Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	%* Gen Ed / %* Alt
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	%* Gen Ed / %* Alt
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	64% Gen Ed / 43% Alt

*Masked per state law or statistical irrelevance

GO-ON RATE (Post-secondary enrollment within 12 months of graduation)	34% Gen Ed / 11% Alt
--	----------------------

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned	Points Possible	Points Earned
		K-8	K-8	9-12	9-12	K-12	K-12	Alternative	Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	0	50	0	50			
	1b	50	0	50	0	50			
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	0	50	0	50		50	
	2b	50	0	50	0	50		50	
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	0			50			
	3b	100	0			50			
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50		50	
	4b			100	0	50		50	
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125	0	125		100	
Total Academic Points		400	0	525	0	525	261	300	101
% of Academic Points			0%		0%		50%		34%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			

IDVA has chosen not to include mission-specific measures.

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	25	Near-Term	1a	0	0
	1b	25	25		1b	0	0
	1c	25	25		1c	0	0
	1d	25	25		1d	50	50
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	25	Sustainability	2a	0	0
	2b	25	25		2b	0	0
	2c	0	0		2c	0	0
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25		2d	0	0
	3b	25	25		Total Financial Points	50	50
	3c	25	25	% of Financial Points		100%	
	3d	25	25				
	3e	25	25				
	3f	25	25				
School Environment	4a	0	0				
Additional Obligations	4b	25	25				
Total Operational Points	5a	25	25				
% of Operational Points		350	350				
			100%				

The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	50%	34%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	100%	65% - 84%	100%
Remediation	31% - 54%			31% - 54%		61% - 79%		46% - 64%	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

ACADEMIC K-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																	
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?																
Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30 - 45			15 - 29			0 - 14	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	50																
	30 - 45																
	15 - 29																
	0 - 14																
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.																
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?																
ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30 - 45			15 - 29			0 - 14	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	50																
	30 - 45																
	15 - 29																
	0 - 14																
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.																

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																	
<p>Measure 2a Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to District</p>	<p>Do math (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Because IDVA is a virtual school serving students in multiple districts, other Idaho virtual schools (instead of the district) will be used as the comparison group for purposes of this measure.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30 - 45			15 - 29			0 - 14	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	50																
	30 - 45																
	15 - 29																
	0 - 14																
<p>Notes</p>																	
<p>Measure 2b ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to District</p>	<p>Do ELA (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. Because IDVA is a virtual school serving students in multiple districts, other Idaho virtual schools (instead of the district) will be used as the comparison group for purposes of this measure.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30 - 45			15 - 29			0 - 14	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	50																
	30 - 45																
	15 - 29																
	0 - 14																
<p>Notes</p>																	

INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)		
<p>Measure 3a</p> <p>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</p> <p>Criterion-Referenced Growth</p> <p>Math</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p>Result</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12</p> <p>Points Earned</p>
<p>Measure 3b</p> <p>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</p> <p>Criterion-Referenced Growth</p> <p>ELA</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p>Result</p>	<p>Points Possible</p> <p>39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12</p> <p>Points Earned</p>

INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)																	
<p>Measure 4a</p> <p>Norm-Referenced Growth</p> <p>Math</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p> <p>Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>39-50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-38</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>13-25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-12</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		39-50			26-38			13-25			0-12	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	39-50																
	26-38																
	13-25																
	0-12																
<p>Measure 4b</p> <p>Norm-Referenced Growth</p> <p>ELA</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p> <p>Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>39-50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-38</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>13-25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-12</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		39-50			26-38			13-25			0-12	
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
	39-50																
	26-38																
	13-25																
	0-12																

INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)

Measure 5a **Are students graduating from high school on time?**

Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate

Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.
Meets Standard: The school either:
 a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR
 b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.
Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.
Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.

The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.

Notes

Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.)

The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	125	
	100	
	75	
	0-65	

ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 2: STUDENT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																				
<p>Alt Measure 2a Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives</p>	<p>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. Meets Standard: The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Notes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0		Notes		
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
Notes																				
<p>Alt Measure 2b ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to Alternatives</p>	<p>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</p> <p>Exceeds Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. Meets Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Notes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0		Notes		
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
Notes																				

ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 4: STUDENT GROWTH COMPARISON		
Alt Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth Math	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<p>50</p> <p>30</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p>
Notes	This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.	
Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?	Result Points Possible Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth ELA	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<p>50</p> <p>30</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p>
Notes	This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 - 8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.	

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)

Alt Measure 5a

Are students graduating from high school?

Five-Year Cohort Graduation Rate

- Exceeds Standard:** The school's five-year cohort graduation rate was greater than 80%.
- Meets Standard:** The school had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 66% - 80% OR met its progress goal.
- Does Not Meet Standard:** The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 40% - 66%.
- Falls Far Below Standard:** The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate below 40%.

Notes

The school's 5-year cohort graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent 5-year cohort graduation rate plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the average graduation rate for alternative schools statewide.

Graduation rates are calculated using a 5-year-plus-summer cohort. The 5-year rate is calculated by adding to the 4-year ACGR any students from the 4-year cohort that graduated by the end of summer of the following year. For this reason, data availability will always run two years behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data based on the 4-year cohort preceding the most recent school year by two years. For example, 2015-16 5-year cohort graduation rates will be reflected in 2018 reports.)

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	100	
	80	
	60	
	0-39	

OPERATIONAL

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM																	
<p>Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program</p>	<p>Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25		15			0				25
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25															
	15																
	0																
		25															
<p>Notes</p>																	
<p>Measure 1b Educational Requirements</p>	<p>Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25		15			0				25
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25															
	15																
	0																
		25															
<p>Notes</p>																	

OPERATIONAL

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
Notes			15	0
				25
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
English Language Learners	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
Notes			15	0
				25

INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements? Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds. Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
Notes				0	<hr/> 25
Measure 2b GAAP Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report. Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			See note	25	25
Notes				0	<hr/> 25
Measure 2c Enrollment Variance Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students? Meets Standard: Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Partially Meets Standard: Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.				25	
Notes				15	
				0	<hr/> 0

OPERATIONAL

INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3a Is the school complying with governance requirements? Governance Requirements Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings. Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Notes			No instances of non-compliance documented 25 15 0 <hr/> 25	25	25
Measure 3b Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations? Board Oversight Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book. Partially Meets Standard: Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's board fails to practice consistent, effective oversight of the school, and/or documentation of competent oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, unmaintained, or non-existent. Notes			No instances of non-compliance documented 25 15 0 <hr/> 25	25	25

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3c	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Reporting Requirements	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
Notes				25
Measure 3d	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
Notes				25

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3e	Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Credentialing & Background Checks	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
				<hr/> 25
Notes				

OPERATIONAL

<p>Measure 3f Information Handling</p>	<p>Is the school handling information appropriately?</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25		15			0				25
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25															
	15																
	0																
		25															
<p>Notes</p>																	
<p>INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT</p>																	
<p>Measure 4a Transportation</p>	<p>Is the school complying with transportation requirements?</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>See note</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	See note	25			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
See note	25																
	15																
	0																
		0															
<p>Notes</p>																	
<p>Measure 4b Public Transparency</p>	<p>Is the school complying with facilities requirements?</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No instances of non-compliance documented</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25		15			0				25
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25															
	15																
	0																
		25															
<p>Notes</p>																	

INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations	<p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.</p> <p>Partially Meets Standard: The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25
			15	
			0	
Notes				25

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1a Current Ratio	<p>Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities</p> <p>Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.</p>			50	
				10	
				0	
Notes					0
Measure 1b Cash Ratio	<p>Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities</p> <p>Meets Standard: Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.</p>			50	
				10	
				0	
Notes					0
Measure 1c Unrestricted Days Cash	<p>Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)</p> <p>Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.</p>			50	
				10	
				0	
Notes					0
Measure 1d Default	<p>Default</p> <p>Meets Standard: School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non-reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.</p> <p>Does Not Meet: School is in default of financial obligations.</p>			No default noted	50
				0	
Notes					50

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 2a Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin	<p>Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.</p> <p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-yr Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p>			50	
				30	
				0	
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.				0
Measure 2b Debt to Asset Ratio	<p>Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9.</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p>			50	
				30	
				0	
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.				0
Measure 2c Cash Flow	<p>Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash</p> <p>Meets Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.</p>			50	
				30	
				0	
Notes					0
Measure 2d Debt Service Coverage Ratio	<p>Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p>			50	
				0	
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.				0

Idaho Virtual Academy - Alt Longitudinal Results

		Percentage of Points Earned					
ACADEMIC	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Alt Proficiency Comparison	2a						
	2b						
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a						
	4b						
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a						

% of Possible Academic Points for this School

47% 34%

		Percentage of Points Earned					
OPERATIONAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a -1d	NA	100%				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	NA	100%				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	NA	100%				
School Environment	4a - 4b	NA	100%				
Additional Obligations	5a	NA	100%				

% of Possible Operational Points for this School

NA 100%

		Percentage of Points Earned					
FINANCIAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	NA	100%				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	NA	NA				

% of Possible Financial Points for this School

NA 100%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic		Remediation	Remediation				
Mission Specific		N/A	N/A				
Operational		N/A*	Honor				
Financial		N/A*	Honor				

*Operational and Financial data for 2016-17 was reported in a different format.

Idaho Virtual Academy - Gen Ed Longitudinal Results

ACADEMIC	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
State Proficiency Comparison	1a						
	1b						
District Proficiency Comparison	2a						
	2b						
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a						
	3b						
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a						
	4b						
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a						

% of Possible Academic Points for this School

52% 50%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a -1d	N/A	100%				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	N/A	100%				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	N/A	100%				
School Environment	4a - 4b	N/A	100%				
Additional Obligations	5a	N/A	100%				

% of Possible Operational Points for this School

N/A 100%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Percentage of Points Earned					
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	N/A	100%				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	N/A	NA				

% of Possible Financial Points for this School

N/A 100%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic	Remediation	Remediation				
Mission Specific	N/A	N/A				
Operational	N/A*	Honor				
Financial	N/A*	Honor				

*Operational and Financial data for 2016-17 was reported in a different format.