



CHIEF TAHGEE ELEMENTARY ACADEMY



ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

2015-2016

Idaho Public Charter School Commission
304 North 8th Street, Room 242
Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561
chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman
Tamara Baysinger, Director

Distributed January 2017

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. It cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2015-16 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Renewal-year schools have an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes in their renewal applications.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.

School Overview

Mission Statement	To provide every student the Power of Two. The Power of Two is the ability to speak, read, write and think in both English and the Shoshoni language. Students who have the Power of Two are better prepared to meet the challenges of a global society because they have these life advantages: enhanced cognitive skills, greater success in cross-cultural communication, more career opportunities, enhanced problem-solving skills, and preparation for the global economy.	
Key Design Elements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of Shoshoni language immersion program • Provision of instruction in Shoshone-Bannock culture • Emphasis on a thematic approach to instruction 	
School Contact Information	Address: P.O. Box 217 38 S. Hiline Rd. Fort Hall, Idaho 83203	Phone: 208-237-2710
Surrounding District	Pocatello School District	
Neighboring District	Blackfoot School District	
Opening Year	2013	
Current Term	December 12, 2013 - June 30, 2017	
Grades Served	K-6	
Enrollment	Approved: 210	Actual: 96

School Leadership (2015-2016)	Role
Velda Racehorse	Chair
Alana Baldwin	Vice Chair
Alexandria Alvarez	Treasurer
Nancy Eschief Murillo	Secretary
Maxine Edmo	Member
Belma Colter	Member
Merceline Boyer	Member
Joel Weaver	Administrator
Dr. Cyd Crue	Administrator

	School	Surrounding District (Pocatello)	Neighboring District (Blackfoot)	State
Non-White	100.00%	20.22%	40.35%	23.84%
Limited English Proficiency	0.00%	1.13%	18.41%	8.61%
Special Needs	30.21%	9.96%	11.61%	9.76%
Free & Reduced Lunch	100.00%	50.09%	52.42%	47.27%

Academic Measure	Result
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in Math	*
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in English Language Arts	*
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency In Science	*
Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2015)	N/A

*Masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Name of School: Chief Tahgee Elementary Academy Year Opened: 2013 Operating Term: 12/12/13 - 6/30/17 Date Executed: 12/12/2013

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

CTEA --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	0%	0.00			
	1b	25	0%	0.00			
Proficiency	2a	75	0%	0.00			
	2b	75	30%	0.00			
	2c	75	30%	0.00			
Growth	3a	100	0%	0.00			
	3b	100	0%	0.00			
	3c	100	0%	0.00			
	3d	75	0%	0.00			
	3e	75	0%	0.00			
	3f	75	0%	0.00			
	3g	100	0%	0.00			
College & Career Readiness	4a						
	4b1 / 4b2						
	4c						
Total Possible Academic Points		900	60%				
- Points from Non-Applicable		750					
Total Possible Academic Points for This School		150					
Total Academic Points Received				0.00			
% of Possible Academic Points for This School				0.00%			

Due to significant and ongoing changes in the state's school accountability system, results for the academic section of this framework are not included in this 2016 Annual Report. Please see the School Overview for academic comparison data.

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
Shoshoni language proficiency	1	40	0%	0.00			
American Indian science SBA proficiency	2	20	0%	0.00			
American Indian reading SBA proficiency	3	0	0%	0.00			
American Indian math SBA proficiency	4	20	0%	0.00			
American Indian language arts SBA prof.	5	20	0%	0.00			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points		100	0%				
Total Mission-Specific Points Received				0.00			
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Received				0.00%			
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS		250					
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED				0.00			
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPECIFIC POINTS				0.00%			

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00
	1b	25	6%	25.00
	1c	25	6%	25.00
	1d	25	6%	25.00
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	0.00
	2b	25	6%	0.00
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00
	3b	25	6%	0.00
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00
	4b	25	6%	25.00
	4c	25	6%	25.00
	4d	25	6%	25.00
School Environment	5a	25	6%	25.00
	5b	25	6%	25.00
	5c	25	6%	15.00
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	0.00
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	290.00
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				72.50%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00
	1b	50	13%	50.00
	1c	50	13%	50.00
	1d	50	13%	50.00
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	50.00
	2b	50	13%	50.00
	2c	50	13%	50.00
	2d	50	13%	50.00
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	400.00
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				100.00%

The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low score on any single measure indicates only the possibility of a problem. In many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of this framework for additional detail.

CTEA --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Academic & Mission-Specific		Operational		Financial	
	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
<p>Honor Schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.</p>	75% - 100% of points possible		90% - 100% of points possible		85% - 100% of points possible	100.00
<p>Good Standing Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.</p>	55% - 74% of points possible	Due to statewide changes to the school accountability system, no Academic & Mission-Specific designation is included in this report.	80% - 89% of points possible		65% - 84% of points possible	
<p>Remediation Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.</p>	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible	72.50%	46% - 64% of points possible	
<p>Critical Schools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.</p>	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

INDICATOR 1: STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY							
Measure 1a	Is the school meeting acceptable standards according to existing state grading or rating systems?	Result (Stars)	Points Possible			Points Earned	
Overall Star Rating			25				
	Exceeds Standard: School received five stars on the Star Rating System		20				
	Meets Standard: School received three or four stars on the Star Rating System		15				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School received two stars on the Star Rating System		0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School received one star on the Star Rating System		0				
Notes						0	
Measure 1b	Is the school meeting state designation expectations as set forth by state and federal accountability systems?	Result	Points Possible			Points Earned	
State Designations			25				
	Exceeds Standard: School was identified as a "Reward" school.		15				
	Meets Standard: School does not have a designation.		0				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School was identified as a "Focus" school.		0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School was identified as a "Priority" school.						
Notes						0	
INDICATOR 2: STUDENT ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY							
Measure 2a	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency							
Reading	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
Notes							0
Measure 2b	Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency							
Math	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
Notes							0

Measure 3d		Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth in Reading	Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading compared to their academic peers?						
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3e		Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth in Math	Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?						
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3f		Result (Percentile)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth in Language	Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers?						
	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
	Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43 rd and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							
Measure 3g		Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects	Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time?						
	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100	25	70-100	31	0
	Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		51-75	25	45-69	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		26-50	25	30-44	15	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		0-25	25	1-29	29	0
							0
Notes							

INDICATOR 4: COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS							
Measure 4a Advanced Opportunity Coursework	Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity		50				
	Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity		30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity		10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty		0	0			
Notes							
Measure 4b1 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)		30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)		10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		0	0			
Notes							
Measure 4b2 College Entrance Exam Results	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		50				
	Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 35-44% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		0	0			
Notes							
Measure 4c Graduation Rate	Are students graduating from high school?	Result (Percentage)	Possible Overall	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: At least 90% of students graduated from high school.		39-50	12	90-100	11	0
	Meets Standard: 81-89% of students graduated from high school.		26-38	13	81-89	9	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: 71%-80% of students graduated from high school.		14-25	12	71-80	10	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 70% of students graduated from high school.		0-13	13	1-70	70	0
Notes							

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS				
Measure 1 Is the school helping students become competent Shoshoni language speakers? Exceeds Standard: 80% or more of Shoshoni language immersion classroom students will achieve the competent or proficient performance levels on the appropriate grade level, spring Shoshoni Oral Language Proficiency Assessment (SOLPA). Meets Standard: 60% to 79% of Shoshoni language immersion classroom students will achieve the competent or proficient performance levels on the appropriate grade level, spring Shoshoni Oral Language Proficiency Assessment . Does Not Meet Standard: 40% to 59% of Shoshoni language immersion classroom students will achieve the competent or proficient performance levels on the appropriate grade level, spring Shoshoni Oral Language Proficiency Assessment . Falls Far Below Standard: Less than 40% of Shoshoni language immersion classroom students will achieve the competent or proficient performance levels on the appropriate grade level, spring Shoshoni Oral Language Proficiency Assessment .	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	
			200	
	77.00%	160	160	
		80		
		0		
			160.00	
Notes Each year, CTEA will add an additional language immersion grade / classroom. The SOLPA is a three-part exam measuring comprehension, ability to respond to questions, mastery of verbs and vocabulary, and ability to use descriptive language. The expectations of students to place in one of the five performance levels (pre-emergent, emergent, basic, competent, or proficient) will vary per grade level.				
Measure 2 Is the school improving the science proficiency of American Indian students? Exceeds Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the science Smarter Balanced Assessment was 9 or more percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary. Meets Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the science Smarter Balanced Assessment was 4 to 8 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary. Does Not Meet Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the science Smarter Balanced Assessment was 0.5 to 3 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary. Falls Far Below Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the science Smarter Balanced Assessment was equal to or lower than Ft. Hall Elementary.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	
			100	100
			80	
			40	
			0	
			100.00	
Notes Fort Hall Elementary School has been chosen as a control group because it is located in the same community as CTEA and has a similar student population.				

CTEA --- MISSION-SPECIFIC FRAMEWORK

Measure 3	Is the school improving the reading proficiency of American Indian students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the reading Smarter Balanced Assessment was 9 or more percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		100	
	Meets Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the reading Smarter Balanced Assessment was 4 to 8 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		80	
	Does Not Meet Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the reading Smarter Balanced Assessment was 0.5 to 3 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		40	
	Falls Far Below Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the reading Smarter Balanced Assessment was equal to or lower than Ft. Hall Elementary.		0	
				0.00
Notes	Fort Hall Elementary School has been chosen as a control group because it is located in the same community as CTEA and has a similar student population.			
Measure 4	Is the school improving the math proficiency of American Indian students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the math Smarter Balanced Assessment was 9 or more percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		100	
	Meets Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the math Smarter Balanced Assessment was 4 to 8 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		80	80
	Does Not Meet Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the math Smarter Balanced Assessment was 0.5 to 3 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.		40	
	Falls Far Below Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the math Smarter Balanced Assessment was equal to or lower than Ft. Hall Elementary.		0	
				80.00
Notes	Fort Hall Elementary School has been chosen as a control group because it is located in the same community as CTEA and has a similar student population.			

Measure 5	Is the school improving the English language arts proficiency of American Indian students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<p>Exceeds Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the English language arts Smarter Balanced Assessment was 9 or more percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.</p>			100	
<p>Meets Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the English language arts Smarter Balanced Assessment was 4 to 8 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.</p>			80	
<p>Does Not Meet Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the English language arts Smarter Balanced Assessment was 0.5 to 3 percentage points higher than Ft. Hall Elementary.</p>			40	
<p>Falls Far Below Standard: CTEA's proficiency rate for American Indian students on the English language arts Smarter Balanced Assessment was equal to or lower than Ft. Hall Elementary.</p>			0	0
<p>Notes Fort Hall Elementary School has been chosen as a control group because it is located in the same community as CTEA and has a similar student population.</p>				<hr/> 0.00

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM				
Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible	
	Meets Standard: The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the school has gained approval for a charter modification to the material terms.	See note	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: School has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0	0.00
	Notes			<hr/> 25.00
<p>The school's commitment to fulfillment of the mission is clear. However, implementation of the language immersion program is incomplete due to unavailability of certified teachers fluent in Shoshoni.</p>				
Measure 1b Education Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?	Result	Points Possible	
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes			<hr/> 25.00	
<p></p>				
Measure 1c Students with Disabilities	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes			<hr/> 25.00	

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25.00
Notes				
INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT				
Measure 2a Financial Reporting and Compliance	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	0	0.00
				0.00
Notes				
The school's FY15 independent fiscal audit, due October 15, 2015, was received December 21, 2015. The school's September fiscal update, due September 1, 2015, was received September 4, 2015.				
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?			
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.		25	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	0	0	0.00
				0.00
Notes				
The audit noted findings regarded to the late submission of the fiscal audit to the SDE and PCSC, as well as concerns regarding internal control procedures, late payment of PERSI contributions, and late payment of federal payroll taxes.				

GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
<hr/>				
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	0	0.00
Notes	The school's mission-specific standard results, due October 1, 2015, were received November 9, 2015. The school's iFARMS report, due to the SDE on October 31, 2015, had not been received as of November 9, 2015.			0.00
<hr/>				
INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

Measure 4b Credentialing	Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	<p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	15		
	<p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	0		
Notes				<hr/> 25.00

Measure 4c Employee Rights	Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	<p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	15		
	<p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	0		
Notes				<hr/> 25.00

Measure 4d Background Checks	Is the school completing required background checks?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	<p>Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.</p>	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	<p>Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	15		
	<p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	0		
Notes				<hr/> 25.00

INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
<hr/>				
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services.	No instances of non-compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
<hr/>				
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?			
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	15	15.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes	In November 2015, the school submitted mission-specific results via email rather than via the secure server as instructed to protect confidential student data.			15.00

ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	<p>Is the school complying with all other obligations?</p> <p>Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
		See note	0	0.00
				0.00
Notes	The school has not posted a Continuous Improvement Plan on its website as required by §33-320, Idaho Code; this matter had not been remedied as of July 1, 2016.			

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES

Measure 1a **Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities**

Current Ratio

Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). *Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.*

Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.

Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Current Ratio is:		
26.77	50	50.00
	10	
0	0	0.00
		<u>50.00</u>

Notes

Measure 1b **Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)**

Unrestricted Days Cash

Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. *Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.*

Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.

Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
No. of Days Cash:		
171	50	50.00
	10	
0	0	0.00
		<u>50.00</u>

Notes

Measure 1c **Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget**

Enrollment Variance

Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year.

Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year.

Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Variance is:		
97.08%	50	50.00
	30	
0	0	0.00
		<u>50.00</u>

Notes

Measure 1d **Default**

Default

Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.

Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable

Falls Far Below Standard: School is in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is delinquent with debt service payments.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
No default or delinquency noted in audit	50	50.00
0	0	0.00
		<u>50.00</u>

Notes

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES																			
<p>Measure 2a</p> <p>Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin</p> <p>Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues</p> <p>Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.</p> <p><i>Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.</i></p> <p>Notes</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Aggregated 3-Year Totals:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>23.45%</td> <td>50</td> <td>50.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td><u>50.00</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Aggregated 3-Year Totals:			23.45%	50	50.00		10		0	0	0.00			<u>50.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
Aggregated 3-Year Totals:																			
23.45%	50	50.00																	
	10																		
0	0	0.00																	
		<u>50.00</u>																	
<p>Measure 2b</p> <p>Debt to Asset Ratio</p> <p>Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0</p> <p><i>Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The pension liability was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.</i></p> <p>Notes</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Ratio is:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.04</td> <td>50</td> <td>50.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td><u>50.00</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Ratio is:			0.04	50	50.00		30		0	0	0.00			<u>50.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
Ratio is:																			
0.04	50	50.00																	
	30																		
0	0	0.00																	
		<u>50.00</u>																	
<p>Measure 2c</p> <p>Cash Flow</p> <p>Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash</p> <p>Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i></p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative</p> <p>Notes</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Multi-Year Cumulative is:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>\$ 631,124</td> <td>50</td> <td>50.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td><u>50.00</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Multi-Year Cumulative is:			\$ 631,124	50	50.00		30		0	0	0.00			<u>50.00</u>
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
Multi-Year Cumulative is:																			
\$ 631,124	50	50.00																	
	30																		
0	0	0.00																	
		<u>50.00</u>																	
<p>Measure 2d</p> <p>Debt Service Coverage Ratio</p> <p>Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)</p> <p>Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1</p> <p>Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1</p> <p>Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable</p> <p><i>Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.</i></p> <p>Notes</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Ratio is:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>100.76</td> <td>50</td> <td>50.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td><u>50.00</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned	Ratio is:			100.76	50	50.00	0	0	0.00			<u>50.00</u>			
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
Ratio is:																			
100.76	50	50.00																	
0	0	0.00																	
		<u>50.00</u>																	

CTEA --- LONGITUDINAL RESULTS

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS	2014-15 POINTS	2015-16 POINTS	2016-17 POINTS	2017-18 POINTS
			EARNED*	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	1b	25	N/A	0.00	0.00		
Proficiency	2a	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	2b	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	2c	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	2c	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
Growth	3a	100	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3b	100	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3c	100	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3d	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3e	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3f	75	N/A	0.00	0.00		
	3g	100	N/A	0.00	0.00		
College & Career Readiness	4a	50					
	4b1 / 4b2	50					
	4c	50					

Total Possible Academic Points Received	1050	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School		N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%


*2013-14 academic results are based on 2012-13 ISAT. Subsequent outcomes are based on the ISAT by SBAC and should not be directly compared to 2013-14 data.

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS	2014-15 POINTS	2015-16 POINTS	2016-17 POINTS	2017-18 POINTS
			EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED
Shoshoni language proficiency	3	200	N/A	0	0.00		
American Indian science SBA proficiency	4	100	N/A	0	0.00		
American Indian reading SBA proficiency	5	100	N/A	0	0.00		
American Indian math SBA proficiency	6	100	N/A	0	0.00		
American Indian language arts SBA proficiency	7	100	N/A	0	0.00		
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received	600	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School		N/A	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS	2014-15 POINTS	2015-16 POINTS	2016-17 POINTS	2017-18 POINTS
			EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED
Educational Program	1a	25	25	25	25		
	1b	25	25	25	25		
	1c	25	25	25	25		
	1d	25	25	25	25		
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	0	0	0		
	2b	25	0	0	0		
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	25	25		
	3b	25	0	15	0		
Students & Employees	4a	25	25	25	25		
	4b	25	25	25	25		
	4c	25	25	25	25		
	4d	25	25	25	25		
School Environment	5a	25	25	25	25		
	5b	25	25	25	25		
	5c	25	25	25	15		
Additional Obligations	6a	25	25	0			
Total Possible Operational Points Received	400	325.00	340.00	290.00	0.00	0.00	
% of Possible Operational Points for This School		81.25%	85.00%	72.50%	0.00%	0.00%	

FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS	2014-15 POINTS	2015-16 POINTS	2016-17 POINTS	2017-18 POINTS
			EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED	EARNED
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	0	10	50		
	1b	50	0	0	50		
	1c	50	0	30	50		
	1d	50	0	50	50		
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	50	50	50		
	2b	50	50	50	50		
	2c	50	50	50	50		
	2d	50	50	50	50		
Total Possible Financial Points Received	400	200.00	290.00	400.00	0.00	0.00	
% of Possible Financial Points for This School		50.00%	72.50%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION
Academic & Mission-Specific	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Operational	Good Standing	Good Standing	Remediation		
Financial	Remediation	Good Standing	Good Standing		

A decorative graphic element consisting of overlapping blue triangles and trapezoids, creating a layered, geometric effect. It is positioned at the bottom left of the page, extending horizontally towards the right.

“Performance-based accountability is the cornerstone of charter schools.”

Alison Consoletti, The Center for Education Reform