



## **IDAHO PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION**

*304 North 8th Street, Room 242 • P.O. Box 83720 • Boise, ID 83720-037*

*208-332-1561 • Fax: 208-334-2632*

*e-mail: [charter@osbe.idaho.gov](mailto:charter@osbe.idaho.gov)*

# **PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION SPECIAL MEETING**

May 4, 2017

700 W. Jefferson Street, Boise, Idaho  
Idaho State Capitol Building, East Wing 41

## **AGENDA**

**Thursday, May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017 – 700 W. Jefferson St, EW 41, 9:00 a.m.**

### **A. COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

1. Performance Framework Adoption
2. Committee Formation

If auxiliary aids or services are needed for individuals with disabilities, or if you wish to speak during the Open Forum, please contact the SBOE office at 334-2270 or PCSC staff before the meeting opens. While the PCSC attempts to address items in the listed order, some items may be addressed by the PCSC prior to or after the order listed.

**SUBJECT**

PCSC Discussion: Performance Framework Adoption

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

I.C. §33-5202A(4)

I.C. §33-5205B

I.C. §33-5209A

**BACKGROUND**

Idaho statute contains a provision requiring each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, and terms of the Performance Certificate.

The PCSC adopted its current performance framework in August 2013. However, state-level standardized testing and accountability system changes have since resulted in an urgent need to update the framework.

Drafts of the revised framework were presented at the October 13, 2016, and April 13, 2017, regular PCSC meetings. On April 13, the PCSC provided direction for final changes to the draft. Stakeholders have been invited to comment on each version of the draft.

**DISCUSSION**

The draft framework provided with these materials reflects the following changes:

- Science proficiency rate comparison measures have been removed.
- Math and ELA proficiency rate comparison measures have been modified to extend the "does not meet standard" rating category downward and scale points within rating categories to permit more nuanced recognition of school outcomes.
- The go-on rate measure has been modified to broaden the definition of students who "go on" to include students who earn associate degrees

during high school, students who enter military service, and students who enroll in postsecondary institutions within 12 months of completing an LDS mission.

- A note has been added to verify that mission-specific measures may be amended mid-term, after baseline data has been collected.

Due to continued PCSC and stakeholder interest in possible elimination of the go-on rate measure, as well as additional staff research on the subject, these materials also contain a version of the framework that excludes the go-on rate measure. In this version, the only remaining post-secondary readiness measure is the graduation rate measure. Because this places a heavier weight graduation rate in the overall academic score, the “falls far below standard” rating category for this measure has been modified to scale according to the school’s outcomes, even if they are low. The go-on rate is reflected in the non-scored, overview portion of the document.

**IMPACT**

Upon adoption of a new performance framework, staff will work with schools to establish new or amended performance certificates to reflect the new framework. Schools scheduled for renewal consideration in spring 2018 will be given the option of remaining on the old framework or switching to the new framework.

**STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Staff recommends that the PCSC adopt one of the two framework options (including or excluding the go-on rate measure) provided with these materials.

**COMMISSION ACTION**

A motion to adopt the performance framework that includes the go-on rate measure, as provided in these materials.

OR

A motion to adopt the performance framework that excludes the go-on rate measure, as provided in these materials.

Moved by \_\_\_\_\_ Seconded by \_\_\_\_\_ Carried Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

# [SCHOOL NAME] [YEAR] ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## INTRODUCTION

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on [DATE].

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had an opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

## PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

<b>Academic</b>	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
<b>Mission-Specific</b>	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
<b>Operational</b>	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
<b>Financial</b>	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

## ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

<b>Honor</b>	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
<b>Good Standing</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
<b>Remediation</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
<b>Critical</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

**SCHOOL OVERVIEW**

<b>Mission Statement</b>			
<b>Key Design Elements</b>			
<b>School Location</b>		<b>School Phone</b>	
<b>Surrounding District</b>			
<b>Opening Year</b>			
<b>Current Term</b>			
<b>Grades Served</b>			
<b>Enrollment (Approved)</b>		<b>Enrollment (Actual)</b>	

**SCHOOL LEADERSHIP**


**STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS**

	<b>School</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Surrounding District</b>	<b>Neighboring District</b>
<b>Non-White</b>				
<b>Limited English Proficiency</b>				
<b>Special Needs</b>				
<b>Free and Reduced Lunch</b>				

**ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES**

Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible K-8	Points Earned K-8	Points Possible 9-12	Points Earned 9-12	Points Possible K-12	Points Earned K-12	Points Possible Alternative	Points Earned Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	0	50	0	50	0		
	1b	50	0	50	0	50	0		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	0	50	0	50	0	50	0
	2b	50	0	50	0	50	0	50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	0			50	0		
	3b	100	0			50	0		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50	0	50	0
	4b			100	0	50	0	50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			75	0	75	0	75	0
	5b			50	0	50	0	35	0
Total Academic Points		400	0	525	0	525	0	310	0
% of Academic Points			0%		0%		0%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			#DIV/0!

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	0	Near-Term	1a	50	0
	1b	25	0		1b	50	0
	1c	25	0		1c	50	0
	1d	25	0		1d	50	0
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	0	Sustainability	2a	50	0
	2b	25	0		2b	50	0
	2c	25	0		2c	50	0
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	0	Total Financial Points	2d	50	0
	3b	25	0				
	3c	25	0				
	3d	25	0				
	3e	25	0				
	3f	25	0				
School Environment	4a	25	0	The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.			
Additional Obligations	4b	25	0				
Total Operational Points	5a	25	0				
% of Operational Points		400	0			400	0
			0%				0%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	0%	0%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	0%	65% - 84%	0%
Remediation	31% - 54%			31% - 54%		61% - 79%		46% - 64%	
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

ACADEMIC K-8

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		



INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON					
<b>Measure 2a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>Math Proficiency Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				
<b>Measure 2b</b>	<b>Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				

INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)																			
<b>Measure 3a</b> <b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b> <b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b> <b>Math</b> <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Notes</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		76-100	0		51-75	0		26-50	0		0-25	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
	76-100	0																	
	51-75	0																	
	26-50	0																	
	0-25	0																	
		0																	
<b>Measure 3b</b> <b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b> <b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b> <b>ELA</b> <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Notes</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		76-100	0		51-75	0		26-50	0		0-25	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																	
	76-100	0																	
	51-75	0																	
	26-50	0																	
	0-25	0																	
		0																	

ACADEMIC 9-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON					
<b>Measure 2a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>Math Proficiency Rate</b>					
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<hr/>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>				
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				
<b>Measure 2b</b>	<b>Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b>					
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<hr/>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>				
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				

INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)															
<b>Measure 4a</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	76-100	0	51-75	0	26-50	0	0-25	0		0
Points Possible	Points Earned														
76-100	0														
51-75	0														
26-50	0														
0-25	0														
	0														
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth Math</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>														
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.</p>														
<b>Measure 4b</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	76-100	0	51-75	0	26-50	0	0-25	0		0
Points Possible	Points Earned														
76-100	0														
51-75	0														
26-50	0														
0-25	0														
	0														
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth ELA</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>														
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.</p>														

INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)																	
<b>Measure 5a</b>	<b>Are students graduating from high school on time?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned												
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
<b>Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school either:</p> <p>a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR</p> <p>b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p>The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.</p> <p><b>Notes</b></p> <p>Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.</p> <p>The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>75</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		75			50			25			0				0
	75																
	50																
	25																
	0																
		0															
<b>Measure 5b</b>	<b>Are graduates going on to enroll in postsecondary programs?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned												
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned															
<b>Go-On Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 60% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> 50% - 59% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> 20% - 49% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Less than 20% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p>This measure will be evaluated as follows: The percentage of students who go on will include the State Board of Education's go-on rate calculation (students who enroll in accredited institutions of higher learning or trade schools), plus students who earn associate degrees during high school, plus students who enter military service, plus students who go on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months of completing an LDS mission of up to 2 years in length. All graduates will be included in the calculation, regardless of whether they graduated on time or with an extended cohort.</p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>39-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-38</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>13-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-12</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		39-50	0		26-38	0		13-25	0		0-12	0			0
	39-50	0															
	26-38	0															
	13-25	0															
	0-12	0															
		0															

ACADEMIC K-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																				
<p><b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Math Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to District</b></p>	<p><b>Do math (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>																			
<p><b>Measure 2b</b> <b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to District</b></p>	<p><b>Do ELA (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>																			



INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)			
<b>Measure 3a</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b>			<b>Points Earned</b>
<b>Math</b>	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		39-50
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		26-38
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		13-25
	<b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		0-12
<b>Notes</b>			0
<b>Measure 3b</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b>			<b>Points Earned</b>
<b>ELA</b>	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		39-50
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		26-38
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		13-25
	<b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		0-12
<b>Notes</b>			0

**INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)**

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 4a</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b>			
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>				
<b>Math</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12	0 0 0 <hr/> 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.			
<b>Measure 4b</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b>			
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>				
<b>ELA</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12	0 0 0 <hr/> 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.			

INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)																				
<p><b>Measure 5a</b> <b>Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate</b></p>	<p><b>Are students graduating from high school on time?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school either:                      a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR                      b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p>The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.</p> <p><b>Notes</b></p> <p>Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.)</p> <p>The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>75</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		75			50			25			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	75																			
	50																			
	25																			
	0																			
		0																		
<p><b>Measure 5b</b> <b>Go-On Rate</b></p>	<p><b>Are graduates going on to enroll in postsecondary programs?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 60% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Meets Standard:</b> 50% - 59% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> 20% - 49% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Less than 20% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p>This measure will be evaluated as follows: The percentage of students who go on will include the State Board of Education's go-on rate calculation (students who enroll in accredited institutions of higher learning or trade schools), plus students who earn associate degrees during high school, plus students who enter military service, plus students who go on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months of completing an LDS mission of up to 2 years in length. All graduates will be included in the calculation, regardless of whether they graduated on time or with an extended cohort.</p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>39-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-38</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>13-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-12</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		39-50	0		26-38	0		13-25	0		0-12	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	39-50	0																		
	26-38	0																		
	13-25	0																		
	0-12	0																		
		0																		

**ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC**

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

<b>ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 2: STUDENT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON</b>																				
<b>Alt Measure 2a</b> <b>Math Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to Alternatives</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<b>Notes</b>																				
<b>Alt Measure 2b</b> <b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to Alternatives</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<b>Notes</b>																				

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 4: STUDENT GROWTH COMPARISON																				
<p><b>Alt Measure 4a</b></p> <p><b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b></p> <p><b>Math</b></p>	<p><b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p> <p><b>Notes</b> This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<p><b>Measure 4b</b></p> <p><b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b></p> <p><b>ELA</b></p>	<p><b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p> <p><b>Notes</b> This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		

ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)																				
<p>Alt Measure 5a Five-Year Cohort Graduation Rate</p>	<p><b>Are students graduating from high school?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's five-year cohort graduation rate was greater than 80%.  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 66% - 80% OR met its progress goal.  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 40% - 66%.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate below 40%.</p> <p>The school's 5-year cohort graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent 5-year cohort graduation rate plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the average graduation rate for alternative schools statewide.</p> <p><b>Notes</b>            Graduation rates are calculated using a 5-year-plus-summer cohort. The 5-year rate is calculated by adding to the 4-year ACGR any students from the 4-year cohort that graduated by the end of summer of the following year. For this reason, data availability will always run two years behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data based on the 4-year cohort preceding the most recent school year by two years. For example, 2015-16 5-year cohort graduation rates will be reflected in 2018 reports.)</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>75</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>60</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>45</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-39</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		75			60			45			0-39	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	75																			
	60																			
	45																			
	0-39	0																		
		0																		
<p>Alt Measure 5b Go-On Rate</p>	<p><b>Are graduates going on to enroll in postsecondary programs?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 50% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Meets Standard:</b> 25% - 49% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> 15 - 24% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Less than 15% of graduates went on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months.</p> <p>This measure will be evaluated as follows: The percentage of students who go on will include the State Board of Education's go-on rate calculation (students who enroll in accredited institutions of higher learning or trade schools), plus students who earn associate degrees during high school, plus students who enter military service, plus students who go on to postsecondary enrollment within 12 months of completing an LDS mission of up to 2 years in length. All graduates will be included in the calculation, regardless of whether they graduated on time or with an extended cohort.</p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>27-35</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>18-26</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>9-17</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-8</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		27-35	0		18-26	0		9-17	0		0-8	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	27-35	0																		
	18-26	0																		
	9-17	0																		
	0-8	0																		
		0																		

**MISSION-SPECIFIC**

Mission-specific measures are optional, unless required as a condition of the performance certificate. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective, data-driven, and based on a valid measurement tool. Measures must be based on data that is processed by a third party, such as an assessment vendor or the State Department of Education, rather than by the school or authorizer. Care should be taken to ensure that rating categories correlate with the format in which the third party provides data to the school. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer. The mission-specific data reporting deadline is August 1; exceptions may be made by mutual agreement in cases where data is unavailable by that date. Unless otherwise specified, schools are responsible for accurate and timely submission of mission-specific data; failure to provide substantiated results in a meaningful format by the established deadline will negatively impact scoring. Mission-specific measures may be amended mid-term, by mutual agreement, after baseline data has been collected.

<b>MISSION-SPECIFIC INDICATOR</b>						
<p><b>Measure 1</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					
<p><b>Measure 2</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					
<p><b>Measure 3</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
<p>Measure 1a Implementation of Educational Program</p>	<p><b>Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?</b></p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.</p>	<p>Result</p>	<p>Points Possible Points Earned</p>
			25
			15
			0
Notes			0
<p>Measure 1b Educational Requirements</p>	<p><b>Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?</b></p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>	<p>Result</p>	<p>Points Possible Points Earned</p>
			25
			15
			0
Notes			0



OPERATIONAL

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
Notes				0
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
English Language Learners	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
Notes				0

INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT													
<b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Financial Reporting and Compliance</b>	<b>Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>													
<b>Notes</b>													
<b>Measure 2b</b> <b>GAAP</b>	<b>Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>													
<b>Notes</b>													
<b>Measure 2c</b> <b>Enrollment Variance</b>	<b>Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p>													
<b>Notes</b>	Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing actual mid-term enrollment by the enrollment projection in the school's board-approved budget, as submitted to the SDE at the beginning of the fiscal year.												

INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING		
<p><b>Measure 3a</b>      <b>Is the school complying with governance requirements?</b></p> <p><b>Governance Requirements</b></p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p>25</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>0</p>
<p><b>Measure 3b</b>      <b>Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?</b></p> <p><b>Board Oversight</b></p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's board fails to practice consistent, effective oversight of the school, and/or documentation of competent oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, unmaintained, or non-existent.</p> <p>Notes</p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p> <p>25</p> <p>15</p> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>0</p>

OPERATIONAL

<p><b>Measure 3c</b> <b>Reporting Requirements</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school complying with reporting requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0
<p><b>Measure 3d</b> <b>Public Transparency</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0
<p><b>Measure 3e</b> <b>Credentialing &amp; Background Checks</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3f	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Information Handling	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				
<b>INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT</b>				
Measure 4a	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Transportation	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides and incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				
Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. The school facility may be in need of modification or repair required by DBS.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				

INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				0

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 1a</b> <b>Current Ratio</b>	<b>Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i>  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1b</b> <b>Cash Ratio</b>	<b>Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1c</b> <b>Unrestricted Days Cash</b>	<b>Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i>  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 15 Days Cash.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1d</b> <b>Unrestricted Days Cash</b>	<b>Default</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non-reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> School is in default of financial obligations.			50 0	0
Notes					

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin</b>	<b>Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the</i> <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2b</b> <b>Debt to Asset Ratio</b>	<b>Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9. <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2c</b> <b>Cash Flow</b>	<b>Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i> <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2d</b> <b>Debt Service Coverage Ratio</b>	<b>Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1 <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1			50 0	0
Notes					



# [SCHOOL NAME] [YEAR] ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## INTRODUCTION

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on [DATE].

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had a opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

## PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

<b>Academic</b>	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
<b>Mission-Specific</b>	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
<b>Operational</b>	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
<b>Financial</b>	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

## ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

<b>Honor</b>	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
<b>Good Standing</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
<b>Remediation</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
<b>Critical</b>	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

**SCHOOL OVERVIEW**

Mission Statement			
Key Design Elements			
School Location		School Phone	
Surrounding District			
Opening Year			
Current Term			
Grades Served			
Enrollment (Approved)		Enrollment (Actual)	

**SCHOOL LEADERSHIP**


**STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS**

	School	State	Surrounding District	Neighboring District
Non-White				
Limited English Proficiency				
Special Needs				
Free and Reduced Lunch				

**ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES**

Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	

**GO-ON RATE (Post-secondary enrollment within 12 months of graduation)**

--

ACADEMIC	Measure	Points Possible K-8	Points Earned K-8	Points Possible 9-12	Points Earned 9-12	Points Possible K-12	Points Earned K-12	Points Possible Alternative	Points Earned Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	0	50	0	50	0		
	1b	50	0	50	0	50	0		
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	0	50	0	50	0	50	0
	2b	50	0	50	0	50	0	50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	0			50	0		
	3b	100	0			50	0		
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50	0	50	0
	4b			100	0	50	0	50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125	0	125	0	100	0
Total Academic Points		400	0	525	0	525	0	300	0
% of Academic Points			0%		0%		0%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0
% of Mission-Specific Points			#DIV/0!

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	
Educational Program	1a	25	0	Near-Term	1a	50	0	
	1b	25	0		1b	50	0	
	1c	25	0		1c	50	0	
	1d	25	0		1d	50	0	
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	0	Sustainability	2a	50	0	
	2b	25	0		2b	50	0	
	2c	25	0		2c	50	0	
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	0	Total Financial Points	2d	50	0	
	3b	25	0					
	3c	25	0		% of Financial Points		400	0
	3d	25	0					0%
	3e	25	0					
	3f	25	0					
School Environment	4a	25	0	The financial measures above are based on industry standards. They are not intended to reflect nuances of the school's financial status. Please see the financial section of this framework for relevant contextual information that may alleviate concern.				
	4b	25	0					
Additional Obligations	5a	25	0					
Total Operational Points		400	0					
% of Operational Points			0%					

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range (% of Points Possible)	Academic Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Mission Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%			55% - 74%		80% - 89%		65% - 84%	
Remediation	31% - 54%	0%	0%	31% - 54%	NA	61% - 79%	0%	46% - 64%	0%
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	

School outcomes will be evaluated in light of contextual information, including student demographics, school mission, and state/federal requirements.

ACADEMIC K-8

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																				
<p><b>Measure 2a</b></p> <p><b>Math Proficiency Rate</b></p> <p><b>Comparison to District</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p> <p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		
<p><b>Measure 2b</b></p> <p><b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b></p> <p><b>Comparison to District</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p> <p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		

INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)																				
<b>Measure 3a</b> <b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b> <b>Math</b> <b>Notes</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		76-100	0		51-75	0		26-50	0		0-25	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	76-100	0																		
	51-75	0																		
	26-50	0																		
	0-25	0																		
		0																		
<b>Measure 3b</b> <b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b> <b>ELA</b> <b>Notes</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>76-100</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>51-75</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>26-50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0-25</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		76-100	0		51-75	0		26-50	0		0-25	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	76-100	0																		
	51-75	0																		
	26-50	0																		
	0-25	0																		
		0																		

ACADEMIC 9-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		



INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON					
<b>Measure 2a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>Math Proficiency Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				
<b>Measure 2b</b>	<b>Do ELA proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned			
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		50	0
	50	0			
<b>Comparison to District</b>	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		30 - 45	0
	30 - 45	0			
	<p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		15 - 29	0
	15 - 29	0			
	<p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		0 - 14	0
	0 - 14	0			
	<p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			0
		0			
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>				

INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)			
<b>Measure 4a</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b> <b>Points Earned</b>
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>			
<b>Math</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>	76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	0 0 0 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		
<b>Measure 4b</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b> <b>Points Earned</b>
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>			
<b>ELA</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>	76-100 51-75 26-50 0-25	0 0 0 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.		

**INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)**

<b>Measure 5a</b>	<b>Are students graduating from high school on time?</b>
<b>Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR</li> <li>b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.</li> </ul> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.</p>
	<p>The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.</p>
<b>Notes</b>	<p>Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind. That is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.</p> <p>The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.</p>

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	125	
	100	
	75	
	0-65	0
		0

ACADEMIC K-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON			
<b>Measure 1a</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Math Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		
<b>Measure 1b</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>ELA Proficiency Rate Comparison to State</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the state average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the state average.</p>		<b>Points Earned</b>
			50
			30 - 45
			15 - 29
			0 - 14
			0
<b>Notes</b>	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.		

INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON																				
<p><b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Math Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to District</b></p>	<p><b>Do math (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in math is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>																			
<p><b>Measure 2b</b> <b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to District</b></p>	<p><b>Do ELA (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 10 percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 11 or more percentage points lower than the district average.</p> <p>The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30 - 45</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15 - 29</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 - 14</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50	0		30 - 45	0		15 - 29	0		0 - 14	0			0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50	0																		
	30 - 45	0																		
	15 - 29	0																		
	0 - 14	0																		
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>Because some schools have primary attendance areas crossing district lines, the school and authorizer will agree upon execution of the performance certificate which district (or other comparison group, in the case of virtual or alternative schools) will be used for comparison purposes. The comparison group should represent a majority of the school's enrollment.</p>																			

INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)			
<b>Measure 3a</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b>			<b>Points Earned</b>
<b>Math</b>	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		39-50
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		26-38
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		13-25
	<b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		0-12
<b>Notes</b>			0
<b>Measure 3b</b>	<b>Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>
<b>Criterion-Referenced Growth</b>			<b>Points Earned</b>
<b>ELA</b>	<b>Exceeds Standard:</b> At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		39-50
	<b>Meets Standard:</b> Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		26-38
	<b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		13-25
	<b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		0-12
<b>Notes</b>			0

**INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)**

		Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 4a</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b>			
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>				
<b>Math</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12	0 0 0 <u>0</u> 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.			
<b>Measure 4b</b>	<b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b>			
<b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b>				
<b>ELA</b>	<p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls below the 30th percentile.</p>		39-50 26-38 13-25 0-12	0 0 0 <u>0</u> 0
<b>Notes</b>	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.			

**INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)**

**Measure 5a**                      **Are students graduating from high school on time?**

**Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate**

**Exceeds Standard:** The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.  
**Meets Standard:** The school either:  
 a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR  
 b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.  
**Does Not Meet Standard:** The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.  
**Falls Far Below Standard:** The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.

The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.

**Notes**

Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.)

The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	125	
	100	
	75	
	0-65	0
		0



**ALTERNATIVE ACADEMIC**

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes.

<b>ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 2: STUDENT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON</b>																				
<b>Alt Measure 2a</b> <b>Math Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to Alternatives</b>	<b>Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is below the average but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's math proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<b>Notes</b>																				
<b>Alt Measure 2b</b> <b>ELA Proficiency Rate</b> <b>Comparison to Alternatives</b>	<b>Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average for alternative schools?</b>  <b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide. <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate meets or exceeds the average (mean) for alternative schools but is below the top 10%. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is below the average for alternative schools but above the bottom 20% of alternative schools statewide, and the school's proficiency rate is higher than 0%. <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's ELA proficiency rate is 0% or is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<b>Notes</b>																				

ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 4: STUDENT GROWTH COMPARISON																				
<p><b>Alt Measure 4a</b> <b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b> <b>Math</b></p>	<p><b>Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in math is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 -8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.</p>																			
<p><b>Measure 4b</b> <b>Norm-Referenced Growth</b> <b>ELA</b></p>	<p><b>Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?</b></p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the top 10% of alternative schools statewide.</p> <p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA meets or exceeds the average for alternative schools but is below the top 10%.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is below the average for alternative schools but is above the bottom 20%.</p> <p><b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> The school's median student growth percentile in ELA is in the bottom 20% of alternative schools.</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Result</th> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned		50			30			15			0				0
Result	Points Possible	Points Earned																		
	50																			
	30																			
	15																			
	0																			
		0																		
<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>This measure will be evaluated using grades 6 - 7, 7 - 8, and/or 8 - 10, as applicable.</p>																			

**ALTERNATIVE INDICATOR 5: COLLEGE & CAREER READINESS (GRADES 9-12)**

**Alt Measure 5a**

**Are students graduating from high school?**

**Five-Year Cohort Graduation Rate**

**Exceeds Standard:** The school's five-year cohort graduation rate was greater than 80%.

**Meets Standard:** The school had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 66% - 80% OR met its progress goal.

**Does Not Meet Standard:** The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate of 40% - 66%.

**Falls Far Below Standard:** The school did not meet its progress goal AND had a five-year cohort graduation rate below 40%.

The school's 5-year cohort graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent 5-year cohort graduation rate plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the average graduation rate for alternative schools statewide.

**Notes**

Graduation rates are calculated using a 5-year-plus-summer cohort. The 5-year rate is calculated by adding to the 4-year ACGR any students from the 4-year cohort that graduated by the end of summer of the following year. For this reason, data availability will always run two years behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data based on the 4-year cohort preceding the most recent school year by two years. For example, 2015-16 5-year cohort graduation rates will be reflected in 2018 reports.)

Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	100	
	80	
	60	
	0-39	0
		0

**MISSION-SPECIFIC**

Mission-specific measures are optional, unless required as a condition of the performance certificate. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective, data-driven, and based on a valid measurement tool. Measures must be based on data that is processed by a third party, such as an assessment vendor or the State Department of Education, rather than by the school or authorizer. Care should be taken to ensure that rating categories correlate with the format in which the third party provides data to the school. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer. The mission-specific data reporting deadline is August 1; exceptions may be made by mutual agreement in cases where data is unavailable by that date. Unless otherwise specified, schools are responsible for accurate and timely submission of mission-specific data; failure to provide substantiated results in a meaningful format by the established deadline will negatively impact scoring. Mission-specific measures may be amended mid-term, by mutual agreement, after baseline data has been collected.

<b>MISSION-SPECIFIC INDICATOR</b>						
<p><b>Measure 1</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					
<p><b>Measure 2</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					
<p><b>Measure 3</b> Is the school...</p> <p><b>Exceeds Standard:</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b>  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b>  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b></p> <p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Points Possible</b></th> <th><b>Points Earned</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>		0
<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>					
	0					

INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM				
<b>Measure 1a</b> <b>Implementation of Educational Program</b>	<b>Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.  <b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.  <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>
			25	
			15	
			0	
<b>Notes</b>				<hr/> 0
<b>Measure 1b</b> <b>Educational Requirements</b>	<b>Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding. <b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	<b>Result</b>	<b>Points Possible</b>	<b>Points Earned</b>
			25	
			15	
			0	
<b>Notes</b>				<hr/> 0

OPERATIONAL

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
Notes				0
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
English Language Learners	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
Notes				0

INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT													
<b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Financial Reporting and Compliance</b>	<b>Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>													
Notes													
<b>Measure 2b</b> <b>GAAP</b>	<b>Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>													
Notes													
<b>Measure 2c</b> <b>Enrollment Variance</b>	<b>Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?</b>	<b>Result</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points Possible</th> <th>Points Earned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points Possible	Points Earned	25		15		0			0
Points Possible	Points Earned												
25													
15													
0													
	0												
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.</p>													
Notes													
<p>Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing actual mid-term enrollment by the enrollment projection in the school's board-approved budget, as submitted to the SDE at the beginning of the fiscal year.</p>													

INDICATOR 3: GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING			
Measure 3a	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible
<b>Governance Requirements</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings. <b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			25
			15
			0
Notes			0
Measure 3b	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?	Result	Points Possible
<b>Board Oversight</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book. <b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's policy book may be substantially complete but require additional maintenance. <b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school's board fails to practice consistent, effective oversight of the school, and/or documentation of competent oversight practices and actions is not maintained. The school's policy book may be incomplete, unmaintained, or non-existent.			25
			15
			0
Notes			0



OPERATIONAL

<p><b>Measure 3c</b> <b>Reporting Requirements</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school complying with reporting requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0
<p><b>Measure 3d</b> <b>Public Transparency</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency. Any instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0
<p><b>Measure 3e</b> <b>Credentialing &amp; Background Checks</b></p>	<p><b>Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?</b></p>	<p><b>Result</b></p>	<p><b>Points Possible</b></p>	<p><b>Points Earned</b></p>
<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>			25	
			15	
			0	
<p>Notes</p>				0

OPERATIONAL

Measure 3f	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Information Handling	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				
<b>INDICATOR 4: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT</b>				
Measure 4a	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Transportation	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides and incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				
Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Additional facility maintenance and/or updates have been recommended by DBS.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. The school facility may be in need of modification or repair required by DBS.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				

INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS				
Measure 5a	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations	<p><b>Meets Standard:</b> The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.</p> <p><b>Partially Meets Standard:</b> The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p> <p><b>Does Not Meet Standard:</b> The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.</p>		25	
			15	
			0	
Notes				0

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 1a</b> <b>Current Ratio</b>	<b>Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i>  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1b</b> <b>Cash Ratio</b>	<b>Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1c</b> <b>Unrestricted Days Cash</b>	<b>Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.</i>  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Fewer than 15 Days Cash.			50 10 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 1d</b> <b>Unrestricted Days Cash</b>	<b>Default</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non-reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.  <b>Does Not Meet:</b> School is in default of financial obligations.			50 0	0
Notes					

FINANCIAL

INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
<b>Measure 2a</b> <b>Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin</b>	<b>Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Aggregated 3-yr Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the</i> <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".  <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2b</b> <b>Debt to Asset Ratio</b>	<b>Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9. <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2c</b> <b>Cash Flow</b>	<b>Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. <i>Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.</i> <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" <b>Falls Far Below Standard:</b> Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.			50 30 0	0
Notes					
<b>Measure 2d</b> <b>Debt Service Coverage Ratio</b>	<b>Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)</b>  <b>Meets Standard:</b> Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1 <b>Does Not Meet:</b> Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1			50 0	0
Notes					

**SUBJECT**

PCSC Discussion: Committee Formation

**APPLICABLE STATUTE, RULE, OR POLICY**

N/A

**BACKGROUND**

Recent statutory and procedural changes have increased the need for commissioner engagement related to authorizing activity in Idaho.

**DISCUSSION**

The creation of standing committees creates avenues for commissioners to consider procedural and policy topics and engage with stakeholders prior to PCSC consideration of recommendations. Committees focused on petitions and renewals are particularly relevant at this time.

**IMPACT**

Information item only.

**STAFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Staff has no comments or recommendations.

**COMMISSION ACTION**

Any action would be at the discretion of the PCSC.